



February 10, 2022

PA-2022-09

## Policy Alert

SUBJECT: Violence Against Women Act Self-Petitions

### Purpose

U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS) is publishing policy guidance in the [USCIS Policy Manual](#) addressing Violence Against Women Act Self-Petitions.

### Background

The Violence Against Women Act of 1994 (VAWA) and its subsequent reauthorizations addressed the unique challenges faced by victims of domestic violence and abuse.<sup>1</sup> VAWA provided certain noncitizen family members of abusive U.S. citizens and lawful permanent residents (LPRs) the ability to self-petition for immigrant classification without the abuser's knowledge or participation in the immigration process. By removing their dependence on the abusive U.S. citizen or LPR family member to obtain immigration status, VAWA allowed noncitizen victims to seek both safety and independence from their abuser.

Through this publication, USCIS will begin to address some of the VAWA self-petition-related concerns that were raised in feedback received in stakeholder engagements. Further, this publication builds the framework for VAWA self-petitions guidance in the USCIS Policy Manual, facilitating future policy updates and clarifications.

Specifically, this guidance changes the interpretation of the requirement for shared residence. USCIS no longer requires self-petitioners to currently reside or to have resided in the past with the abuser during the qualifying spousal or parent-child relationship. Instead, USCIS has updated its interpretation of the statute to require self-petitioners to demonstrate that they currently reside or have resided with the abuser at any time in the past when filing the self-petition.<sup>2</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> See Title IV of the Violent Crime Control and Law Enforcement Act of 1994, [Pub. L. 103-322](#), 108 Stat. 1796, 1902 (September 13, 1994); Title V of the Victims of Trafficking and Violence Protection Act of 2000, [Pub. L. 106-386](#), 114 Stat. 1464, 1518 (October 28, 2000); Title VIII of the Violence Against Women and Department of Justice Reauthorization Act of 2005, [Pub. L. 109-162](#), 119 Stat. 2960, 3053 (January 5, 2006); Section 6 of the Violence Against Women and Department of Justice Reauthorization Act of 2005 Technical Amendments, [Pub. L. 109-271](#), 120 Stat. 750, 762 (August 12, 2006); and Title VIII of the Violence Against Women Reauthorization Act of 2013, [Pub. L. 113-4](#), 127 Stat. 54, 110 (March 7, 2013).

<sup>2</sup> See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(A\)\(iii\)\(II\)\(dd\)](#), [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(A\)\(iv\)](#), [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(A\)\(vii\)\(IV\)](#), [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(B\)\(ii\)\(II\)\(dd\)](#), and [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(B\)\(iii\)](#). Although USCIS continues to believe its prior interpretation is reasonable, multiple courts have interpreted [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(A\)\(iii\)\(II\)\(dd\)](#) ("has resided with the alien's spouse or intended spouse") more broadly, which USCIS agrees is also a reasonable interpretation. See *Dartora v. U.S.*, No. 4:20-CV-05161-SMJ (E.D.W.A. June

The other two changes implement the decisions in *Da Silva v. Attorney General* and *Arguijo v. United States* nationwide.<sup>3</sup>

The guidance, contained in Volume 3 of the Policy Manual, consolidates, updates, and replaces Chapters 21.14 and 21.15 of the Adjudicator’s Field Manual (AFM) and related policy memoranda and changes USCIS’ interpretation of three policies. USCIS is implementing this guidance immediately and the guidance applies to all Petitions for Amerasian, Widow(er), or Special Immigrant ([Form I-360](#)) filed as VAWA self-petitions that are currently pending, or filed on or after February 10, 2022. The guidance contained in the Policy Manual is controlling and supersedes any related prior guidance.

### Policy Highlights

- Consolidates and updates guidance on eligibility, filing, and adjudication requirements for VAWA-based Form I-360s to reflect current laws and existing practice.
- Changes the interpretation of the requirement for shared residence to occur during the qualifying relationship and, instead, requires the self-petitioner to reside or have resided with the abuser at any time in the past.
- Implements the decision in *Da Silva v. Attorney General*,<sup>4</sup> which held that when evaluating the good moral character requirement, an act or conviction is “connected to” the battery or extreme cruelty when it has “a causal or logical relationship.”
- Implements the decision in *Arguijo v. USCIS*,<sup>5</sup> which allows stepchildren and stepparents to continue to be eligible for VAWA self-petitions if the parent and stepparent divorced.
- Clarifies how USCIS considers the 2-year filing requirement when the self-petitioner’s marriage is terminated, the abusive U.S. citizen family member dies, and the abusive family member loses or renounces U.S. citizenship or LPR status.
- Clarifies that [INA 204\(a\)\(2\)](#) does not apply when a self-petitioner files a Form I-360 based on a qualifying relationship to an abusive LPR spouse but does apply if the self-petitioner acquires LPR status and subsequently files a family-based spousal petition.

---

7, 2021); *Bait It v. McAleenan*, 410 F. Supp. 3d 874 (N.D. Ill. 2019); and *Hollingsworth v. Zuchowski*, 437 F. Supp. 3d 1231 (S.D. Fla. 2020) (holding that self-petitioners are not required to have resided with the abuser during the qualifying relationship but must have resided with the abuser at some point before filing the self-petition).

<sup>3</sup> See *Da Silva v. Attorney General*, 948 F.3d 629 (3rd Cir. 2020) and *Arguijo v. USCIS*, 991 F.3d 736 (7th Cir. 2021), which were decided on January 3, 2020 and March 12, 2021, respectively. These cases were decided in different Circuits; however, in order to have consistency across agency adjudications, USCIS is adopting the decisions nationwide.

<sup>4</sup> See *Da Silva v. Attorney General*, 948 F.3d 629 (3rd Cir. 2020), holding that “connected to” as it is used in [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(C\)](#) means “having a causal or logical relationship.” USCIS has chosen to apply this holding regardless of where the self-petitioner resides.

<sup>5</sup> See *Arguijo v. USCIS*, 991 F.3d 736 (7th Cir. 2021), holding that divorce does not terminate a stepchild relationship for the purposes of eligibility for a VAWA self-petition. USCIS has chosen to apply this holding regardless of where the self-petitioner resides.

- Provides guidance on special considerations for self-petitions filed subsequent to a Petition for Alien Relative ([Form I-130](#)) and an Application to Register Permanent Residence or Adjust Status ([Form I-485](#)).

**Citation**

Volume 3: Humanitarian Protection and Parole, Part D, Violence Against Women Act  
[[3 USCIS-PM D](#)]



U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

[Home](#) > [Policy Manual](#)

# Chapter 1 - Purpose and Background

## Guidance

[Resources \(8\)](#)

[Appendices \(0\)](#)

[Updates \(2\)](#)

[History \(0\)](#)

---

## A. Purpose

The Violence Against Women Act of 1994 (VAWA) amended the nation's immigration laws and included a broad range of criminal, civil, and health-related provisions.<sup>[1]</sup> VAWA addressed the unique issues faced by victims of domestic violence and abuse and provided certain noncitizen family members of abusive U.S. citizens and lawful permanent residents (LPRs) the ability to self-petition for immigrant classification without the abuser's knowledge, consent, or participation in the immigration process. This allowed victims to seek both safety and independence from their abuser.

Spouses, children, and parents of U.S. citizens and spouses and children of LPRs may file a self-petition for immigrant classification with USCIS. A noncitizen filing the self-petition is generally known as a VAWA self-petitioner.<sup>[2]</sup> If USCIS approves the self-petition, VAWA self-petitioners may then seek an immigrant visa from outside the United States or apply for adjustment of status inside the United States.<sup>[3]</sup>

## B. Background

Under the family-based immigration process, U.S. citizens and LPRs may petition for certain categories of relatives to immigrate to the United States. This process generally requires U.S. citizens and LPRs to first file a family-based petition with USCIS on behalf of their noncitizen family member. If USCIS approves the petition, the family member is then eligible to apply for LPR status.

Because the family-based immigration process requires U.S. citizens and LPRs to petition for their noncitizen family member, they have control over the petitioning process. Some U.S. citizens and LPRs use their control over this process as a tool to further abuse the noncitizen, threatening to withhold or withdraw the petition in order to control, coerce, and intimidate their family members. This allows abusive U.S. citizens and LPRs to perpetuate their abuse, and their family members may be afraid to report them



to law enforcement or leave the abusive situation, as they may be dependent on the U.S. citizen or LPR to obtain or maintain their immigration status.

With the passage of VAWA, Congress created a path for victims of domestic violence and abuse to independently petition for themselves, or self-petition, for immigrant classification. The purpose of the immigration amendments in VAWA was to give noncitizens who have been abused by their U.S. citizen or LPR relative the opportunity to independently seek immigrant classification without the abuser’s participation or knowledge. Allowing victims to self-petition means that they are no longer dependent on their abusive family member to obtain immigration status, thereby removing at least one barrier to ending the abuse.

*Legislative History*

VAWA was enacted into law as Section IV of the Violent Crime Control and Law Enforcement Act of 1994.<sup>[4]</sup> Since its passage in 1994, there have been three reauthorizations of the statute (in 2000, 2005, and 2013), all of which expanded and added new protections for VAWA self-petitioners.<sup>[5]</sup>

The table below provides a summary of key provisions related to self-petitions in VAWA and its subsequent reauthorizations.

**Key Provisions of VAWA and Subsequent Reauthorizations**

Laws	Key Provisions for VAWA Self-Petitions
Violent Crime Control and Law Enforcement Act of 1994 <sup>[6]</sup>  (The VAWA provisions of this law are known as the “Violence Against Women Act of 1994” or VAWA 1994)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Created self-petitioning provisions for abused spouses and children of U.S. citizens and LPRs</li><li>• Established the “any credible evidence” standard</li></ul>

Laws	Key Provisions for VAWA Self-Petitions
<p>Victims of Trafficking and Violence Protection Act of 2000 (VAWA 2000) <a href="#">[7]</a></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Removed the extreme hardship eligibility requirement</li><li>• Removed the requirement that self-petitioners be married to the abuser at the time of filing</li><li>• Allowed continued eligibility in certain circumstances despite the death of the U.S. citizen, termination of the marriage, or loss of the abuser’s U.S. citizenship or LPR status</li><li>• Created a definition for “intended spouse” and added provisions for self-petitioners whose marriage was not legitimate solely due to the abuser’s bigamy</li><li>• Allowed the filing of self-petitions from outside the United States</li><li>• Specified the authority to consider deferred action and employment authorization for certain self-petitioners</li><li>• Allowed certain children to remain eligible for benefits despite turning 21 years old</li><li>• Allowed self-petitioners to adjust status within the United States</li><li>• Added provisions allowing exemptions and waivers for certain grounds of inadmissibility</li></ul>

Laws	Key Provisions for VAWA Self-Petitions
<p>Violence Against Women and Department of Justice Reauthorization Act of 2005<sup>[8]</sup></p> <p>Violence Against Women and Department of Justice Reauthorization Act of 2005 Technical Amendments</p> <p>(These two laws are collectively referred to as VAWA 2005)<sup>[9]</sup></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Created a uniform definition for “VAWA self-petitioner”</li> <li>• Created self-petitioning provisions for abused parents of U.S. citizen sons and daughters 21 years of age or older</li> <li>• Provided work authorization to noncitizens with approved self-petitions</li> <li>• Allowed abused children to file a self-petition until age 25 in certain circumstances</li> <li>• Extended protections for children to remain eligible for benefits despite turning 21 years old</li> <li>• Removed the 2-year legal custody and joint residency requirement for abused adopted children</li> <li>• Strengthened confidentiality protections for self-petitioners</li> </ul>
<p>Violence Against Women Reauthorization Act of 2013 (VAWA 2013)<sup>[10]</sup></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Allowed continued eligibility for derivative children where the self-petitioner died</li> <li>• Exempted self-petitioners from the public charge ground of inadmissibility</li> </ul>

## C. Legal Authorities

- [INA 101\(a\)\(51\)](#) – Definition of VAWA self-petitioner
- [INA 204](#) – Procedure for granting immigrant status
- [8 CFR 204.2](#) – Petitions for relatives, widows and widowers, and abused spouses and children<sup>[11]</sup>
- [8 U.S.C. 1367](#) – Penalties for disclosure of information

## Footnotes

<sup>[^ 1]</sup> See Title IV of the Violent Crime Control and Law Enforcement Act of 1994, [Pub. L. 103-322 \(PDF\)](#), 108 Stat. 1796, 1902 (September 13, 1994).

<sup>[^ 2]</sup> See [INA 101\(a\)\(51\)](#). Although [INA 101\(a\)\(51\)](#) includes several benefits under the term “VAWA self-petitioner,” this part focuses on self-petitions filed under [INA 204\(a\)](#).

[^3] See [INA 201\(b\)\(2\)\(A\)\(i\)](#). See [INA 203\(a\)\(2\)\(A\)](#). See [INA 245\(a\)](#).

[^4] See Title IV of [Pub. L. 103-322 \(PDF\)](#), 108 Stat. 1796, 1902 (September 13, 1994).

[^5] See Title V of the Victims of Trafficking and Violence Protection Act of 2000, [Pub. L. 106-386 \(PDF\)](#), 114 Stat. 1464, 1518 (October 28, 2000). See Title VIII of the Violence Against Women and Department of Justice Reauthorization Act of 2005, [Pub. L. 109-162 \(PDF\)](#), 119 Stat. 2960, 3053 (January 5, 2006). See Section 6 of the Violence Against Women and Department of Justice Reauthorization Act of 2005 Technical Amendments, [Pub. L. 109-271 \(PDF\)](#), 120 Stat. 750, 762 (August 12, 2006). See Title VIII of the Violence Against Women Reauthorization Act of 2013, [Pub. L. 113-4 \(PDF\)](#), 127 Stat. 54, 110 (March 7, 2013).

[^6] See [Pub. L. 103-322 \(PDF\)](#), 108 Stat. 1796, 1902 (September 13, 1994).

[^7] See [Pub. L. 106-386 \(PDF\)](#), 114 Stat. 1464, 1518 (October 28, 2000).

[^8] See [Pub. L. 109-162 \(PDF\)](#), 119 Stat. 2960, 3053 (January 5, 2006).

[^9] See [Pub. L. 109-271 \(PDF\)](#), 120 Stat. 750, 762 (August 12, 2006).

[^10] See [Pub. L. 113-4 \(PDF\)](#), 127 Stat. 54, 110 (March 7, 2013).

[^11] The VAWA regulations at [8 CFR 204.2](#) were promulgated in March 1996 and have not been updated to include superseding statutory provisions. Note that some of the regulatory provisions may no longer apply.

Current as of February 10, 2022

---



U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

[Home](#) > [Policy Manual](#)

## Chapter 2 - Eligibility Requirements and Evidence

### Guidance

[Resources](#) (8).

[Appendices](#) (1).

[Updates](#) (2).

[History](#) (0).

The Violence Against Women Act of 1994 (VAWA) and its subsequent reauthorizations amended the Immigration and Nationality Act (INA) to allow abused spouses and children of U.S. citizens and lawful permanent residents (LPRs) and abused parents of U.S. citizen sons and daughters 21 years of age or older to file their own self-petition for immigrant classification.<sup>[1]</sup> Noncitizens filing self-petitions are referred to as VAWA self-petitioners or self-petitioners in this part.<sup>[2]</sup>

The VAWA self-petition is filed on the Petition for Amerasian, Widow(er), or Special Immigrant ([Form I-360](#)).<sup>[3]</sup> An approved Form I-360 provides self-petitioners with immigrant classification as either immediate relatives or under a family-based preference category and allows them to apply for LPR status.<sup>[4]</sup>

### A. General Overview of Eligibility Requirements

Self-petitioners must file a Form I-360 and submit evidence to establish, by a preponderance of the evidence, that they meet the general eligibility requirements outlined in the table below.<sup>[5]</sup>

General Eligibility Requirements for VAWA Self-Petitioners
<p>The self-petitioner must have a qualifying relationship to an abusive U.S. citizen or LPR relative as the:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Spouse, intended spouse, or former spouse of a U.S. citizen or LPR;</li><li>• Child of a U.S. citizen or LPR; or</li><li>• Parent of a U.S. citizen son or daughter that is 21 years of age or older.</li></ul>

General Eligibility Requirements for VAWA Self-Petitioners
The self-petitioner must have been married in good faith (for self-petitioning spouses only).
The self-petitioner is eligible for immigrant classification as an immediate relative or under a family-based preference category. <sup>[6]</sup>
The self-petitioner was subjected to battery or extreme cruelty perpetrated by the U.S. citizen or LPR during the qualifying relationship (self-petitioning spouses may also be eligible based on the battery or extreme cruelty subjected on their child).
The self-petitioner resides or resided with the abusive U.S. citizen or LPR.
The self-petitioner is a person of good moral character. <sup>[7]</sup>

### General Evidentiary Requirements<sup>[8]</sup>

While self-petitioners are encouraged to submit primary evidence, when possible, USCIS must consider any credible evidence relevant to the petition.<sup>[9]</sup> The self-petitioner may, but is not required to, demonstrate that primary or secondary evidence is not available.<sup>[10]</sup> A petition may not be denied for failure to submit particular evidence. The petition may only be denied on evidentiary grounds if the evidence submitted is not credible or otherwise does not establish eligibility.

The determination of what evidence is credible and the weight to be given that evidence is within the sole discretion of USCIS.<sup>[11]</sup> As with all petitions and applications for an immigration benefit, a self-petitioner must remain eligible to receive a benefit under VAWA at the time of filing through final adjudication.<sup>[12]</sup>

## B. Qualifying Relationship

Self-petitioners must demonstrate a qualifying relationship to an abusive U.S. citizen or LPR to be eligible for VAWA benefits.<sup>[13]</sup> Self-petitioners who have a qualifying relationship include:

- An abused spouse of a U.S. citizen or LPR or a spouse of a U.S. citizen or LPR whose child was abused by the U.S. citizen or LPR (self-petitioning spouse<sup>[14]</sup>);
- An abused child of a U.S. citizen or LPR (self-petitioning child); or
- An abused parent of a U.S. citizen son or daughter 21 years of age or older (self-petitioning parent).<sup>[15]</sup>

To establish a qualifying relationship, the self-petitioner must submit evidence to prove the requisite familial relationship to the abuser as well as evidence of the abuser's U.S. citizenship or LPR status.<sup>[16]</sup>

# 1. Abuser's U.S. Citizenship or Lawful Permanent Resident Status

The self-petitioner's abusive qualifying family member must generally be a U.S. citizen or LPR when the self-petition is filed.<sup>[17]</sup> There are certain exceptions, however, where self-petitioners may preserve their eligibility in cases where abusers have lost or renounced their U.S. citizenship or LPR status for a reason that was related to an incident of abuse.<sup>[18]</sup> Changes to the abuser's U.S. citizenship or LPR status after the self-petitioner files the self-petition do not adversely impact approving a pending self-petition or the validity of an approved self-petition.<sup>[19]</sup>

Primary evidence to demonstrate the abuser's U.S. citizenship includes, but is not limited to:

- A birth certificate (or legible photocopy) issued by a civil authority that establishes the abuser's birth in the United States;
- A copy of an unexpired U.S. passport issued initially for a full 10-year period to the abuser over the age of 18 at the time of issuance;
- A copy of an unexpired U.S. passport issued initially for a full 5-year period to the abuser under the age of 18 at the time of issuance;
- A statement executed by a U.S. consular officer certifying the abuser to be a U.S. citizen and the bearer of a currently valid U.S. passport;
- The abuser's Certificate of Naturalization or Certificate of Citizenship or a copy of either document; or
- The abuser's Report of Birth Abroad of a Citizen of the United States (Department of State Form FS-240).<sup>[20]</sup>

Other examples of evidence to establish the U.S. citizenship of the abuser may include a receipt or approval notice of a Petition for Alien Relative ([Form I-130](#)) filed by the abuser for an immediate relative category, the abuser's A-Number with evidence of naturalization, or information on a marriage license or certificate showing the abuser's birth in the United States.

Primary evidence to demonstrate the abuser's LPR status is a copy of the abuser's Permanent Resident Card (Form I-551) or other proof from the DHS reflecting LPR status.<sup>[21]</sup> Other examples of evidence to establish the abuser's LPR status include but are not limited to:

- A copy of the pages of the abuser's passport with visas and entry stamps showing name and immigration status; or
- The abuser's A-Number with verification of status.

If self-petitioners are unable to provide documentary evidence of the abuser's U.S. citizenship or LPR status, they should provide some identifying information for the abusive U.S. citizen or LPR, such as a name, place of birth, country of birth, date of birth, or Social Security number. USCIS uses this information to conduct a search of DHS records to attempt to verify the abuser's citizenship or immigration status.<sup>[22]</sup> If USCIS is unable to identify a record as relating to the abuser or the record does not establish the abuser's citizenship or LPR status, the officer should adjudicate the self-petition based on the information submitted by the self-petitioner.<sup>[23]</sup>



An abused spouse or child of a U.S. national may also be eligible for VAWA benefits, as a U.S. national is accorded the same rights as an LPR. <sup>[24]</sup> USCIS treats a self-petitioning spouse or child of a U.S. national as a self-petitioning spouse or child of an LPR when adjudicating the self-petition.

## 2. Self-Petitioning Spouse

Generally, to establish a qualifying relationship, self-petitioning spouses must have a legally valid marriage to their abusive U.S. citizen or LPR spouse at the time the self-petition is filed. <sup>[25]</sup> In certain circumstances, however, self-petitioning spouses may continue to be eligible for VAWA benefits if the marriage was terminated due to divorce or death prior to filing the self-petition. <sup>[26]</sup> If self-petitioning spouses divorce their abusive U.S. citizen or LPR spouse after the self-petition is filed, it does not adversely impact approving a pending self-petition or the validity of an approved self-petition. <sup>[27]</sup>

USCIS generally considers a marriage as legally valid according to the laws of the place where the marriage was celebrated. <sup>[28]</sup> However, if a marriage is valid in the country where celebrated but considered contrary to U.S. public policy, the marriage is not recognized as valid for immigration purposes. <sup>[29]</sup> For example, incestuous and plural marriages generally are considered contrary to U.S. public policy. A common law marriage may be considered a legally valid marriage for the purpose of establishing VAWA eligibility.

Examples of evidence of a legal marriage include, but are not limited to:

- A marriage certificate issued by civil authorities; <sup>[30]</sup>
- Common law marriage announcements or certificates;
- Affidavits and photos of the wedding ceremony;
- Demonstrating a common law marriage if no certificate or announcement is available in states where common law marriages may be contracted; or
- Any other credible evidence to establish a marital relationship.

If self-petitioners were previously married, they must submit evidence to establish that all of their prior marriages were legally terminated, and that they were legally free to enter a valid marriage with the abuser. <sup>[31]</sup> If the U.S. citizen or LPR spouse was previously married, self-petitioners should submit evidence, if available, to establish that all of their spouse's prior marriages were legally terminated. <sup>[32]</sup> If the U.S. citizen or LPR spouse's prior marriages were not legally terminated, however, self-petitioners may continue to be eligible as intended spouses. <sup>[33]</sup>

A civil authority must have issued the marriage termination document (such as a divorce decree or an annulment) for it to be considered valid. Officers should refer to the U.S. Department of State's [Foreign Affairs Manual](#) and [U.S. Visa: Reciprocity and Civil Documents by Country](#) webpage for country-specific information regarding the legal termination of any marriage that occurred or was terminated outside the United States.

Note that if a divorce decree requires a waiting or revocable period that has not concluded (for example, a "nisi" period in a domestic decree or an "idda" period in a foreign decree), the decree is not considered final and the marriage has not been legally terminated. <sup>[34]</sup>

Examples of evidence of a legally terminated marriage may include, but are not limited to:

- A final decree of divorce;
- A decree of annulment;
- A death certificate; or
- Any other credible evidence to establish a terminated marriage.

### *Intended Spouse*

VAWA protects “intended spouses” who believed that they entered into a valid marriage, but the marriage was invalid solely due to the abusive U.S. citizen or LPR’s bigamy or polygamy. [\[35\]](#) To be eligible as intended spouses, self-petitioners must have believed that they entered into a legally valid marriage with the U.S. citizen or LPR. Therefore, USCIS focuses its inquiry on the intent of the self-petitioner and not on the intent of the abuser.

To demonstrate a qualifying relationship to the abusive U.S. citizen or LPR as an intended spouse, the self-petitioner must submit evidence to establish the following requirements:

- The self-petitioner believed a legal marriage was created with the U.S. citizen or LPR spouse who was not already married and therefore free to enter into a valid marriage;
- A marriage ceremony was actually performed;
- The requirements for the establishment of a bona fide marriage were otherwise met; and
- The apparent marriage between the self-petitioner and the U.S. citizen or LPR is not legitimate solely because of the U.S. citizen’s or LPR’s other, preexisting marriage. [\[36\]](#)

USCIS considers a marriage certificate issued by civil authorities in the United States or abroad to be evidence of the self-petitioner’s intent. If self-petitioners were previously married, they must submit evidence to demonstrate that all their prior marriages were legally terminated. Evidence of the termination of all the abusive U.S. citizen’s or LPR’s prior marriages, however, is not required to establish eligibility as an intended spouse.

Intended spouses in common law marriages are eligible as VAWA self-petitioners as long as they can demonstrate the requirements listed above, including that a marriage ceremony was actually performed.

### *Self-Petitioning Spouse Whose Child was Abused*

A spouse of an abusive U.S. citizen or LPR is eligible to self-petition based on abuse committed by the U.S. citizen or LPR against the spouse’s child. [\[37\]](#) The abused child does not need to be the abuser’s child. If the self-petition is based on a claim that the self-petitioner’s child was battered or subjected to extreme cruelty committed by the U.S. citizen or LPR, the self-petitioner should submit evidence of a relationship to the abused child, such as the child’s birth certificate or other evidence demonstrating the relationship (in addition to demonstrating the required marital relationship to the abuser). [\[38\]](#)

## 3. Self-Petitioning Child

Self-petitioning children may establish a qualifying relationship to their abusive U.S. citizen or LPR parent if they are the biological child, stepchild, or adopted child of the abuser. [\[39\]](#) The child must be unmarried

and less than 21 years old when the self-petition is filed in order to be considered a child for immigration purposes.<sup>[40]</sup> In certain circumstances, children who turn 21 years old prior to filing the self-petition or while the self-petition is pending may remain eligible for VAWA benefits.<sup>[41]</sup> The self-petitioner must remain unmarried, however, at the time of filing and when the self-petition is approved.<sup>[42]</sup> To be considered unmarried, the self-petitioner must either never have been married or have legally terminated all prior marriages.

Termination of the abuser's parental rights or a change in legal custody does not alter the child's eligibility to self-petition, provided the petitioner meets the definition of the term "child" under immigration law and meets all other eligibility requirements.<sup>[43]</sup>

### *Biological Child*

Self-petitioning children may demonstrate a qualifying relationship if they are the biological child of the abusive U.S. citizen or LPR parent. If the U.S. citizen or LPR parent utilized Assisted Reproductive Technology, however, and does not have a genetic relationship to the self-petitioning child, the child may still be able to demonstrate a qualifying parent-child relationship in certain circumstances.<sup>[44]</sup> If the child did not acquire U.S. citizenship at birth and the abusive U.S. citizen or LPR parent is the biological mother of the self-petitioning child, the primary evidence to demonstrate a qualifying relationship is the child's birth certificate issued by civil authorities listing the mother's name.<sup>[45]</sup> If the mother's name on the birth certificate is different from the name listed on the self-petition, the self-petitioning child may submit evidence of the name change.<sup>[46]</sup>

Other examples of evidence of a biological relationship may include, but are not limited to:

- A court decree of paternity;
- A custody or child support order;
- A baptismal certificate (or other religious document) with the seal of the religious authority showing the date and place of birth and the baptism (or similar religious ceremony) and the name(s) of the parent(s);
- Early school records showing the date of admission to the school, the child's date and place of birth, and the name(s) of the parent(s);
- Medical records, such as a hospital birth record that names the parent(s) of the child;
- Census record, such as a state or federal census record showing the name, place of birth, and date of birth or age of each person listed; or
- Any other credible evidence of the relationship.

Self-petitioning children whose abusive U.S. citizen or LPR parent is their biological father must provide evidence demonstrating that they were either:

- Born in wedlock;
- Legitimated, or were born out of wedlock but later placed in the same legal position as a child born in wedlock; or

- Born out of wedlock but have or have had an ongoing bona fide relationship with the abusive father. [\[47\]](#)

For children born in wedlock, self-petitioners must submit evidence of their biological relationship to their father, the marriage of the child's parents, and evidence of the legal termination of all prior marriages, if applicable. [\[48\]](#)

Examples of evidence may include:

- The child's birth certificate issued by civil authorities to show a biological relationship;
- A civilly-issued marriage certificate of the parents;
- Common law marriage announcements or certificates conforming to the legal requirements of the location where the marriage took place;
- Proof of the legal termination of the parents' prior marriages, if any, issued by civil authorities; or
- Any other available evidence. [\[49\]](#)

Children who were legitimated must provide evidence of a biological relationship to the father and evidence of the child's legitimation. [\[50\]](#) Generally, legitimation is governed by the law of the place of residence of the parent or child. [\[51\]](#) Self-petitioners may generally establish legitimation by showing that their parents married at any time before they turned 18 years old. [\[52\]](#)

Children who were born out of wedlock and have not been legitimated must provide evidence that a bona fide parent-child relationship with the abusive biological father has been established. [\[53\]](#) Evidence should establish more than merely a biological relationship. A bona fide parent-child relationship should include emotional or financial ties (or both). [\[54\]](#) There should be evidence that the father and child actually lived together, that the father openly held the child out as being his own, that the father provided for some or all of the child's needs, or that the father's behavior in general evidenced a genuine relationship with the child. [\[55\]](#)

Examples of evidence to establish a bona fide parent-child relationship may include, but are not limited to:

- Money order receipts or cancelled checks showing the father's financial support of the child;
- The father's income tax returns, medical records, or insurance policies listing the child as a dependent;
- School, social services, or other state or federal government agency records for the child listing the father as a guardian or family contact;
- Correspondence between the parties;
- Notarized affidavits of friends, neighbors, school officials, or other associates with knowledge of the relationship; or
- Any other credible evidence of a bona fide parent-child relationship.

The following table provides a summary of the types of evidence required to demonstrate a qualifying relationship for self-petitioning children who have a biological relationship to their abusive U.S. citizen or LPR parent.

**Self-Petitioning Child: Required Evidence for Biological Children**

Child	Abusive Parent	Required Evidence <sup>[56]</sup>
Child	Biological mother	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Evidence of the biological relationship</li> </ul>
Child born in wedlock	Biological father	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Evidence of the biological relationship;</li> <li>• Evidence of the marriage of the child's parents; and</li> <li>• Evidence of the legal termination of all prior marriages, if any</li> </ul>
Legitimated child	Biological father	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Evidence of the biological relationship, and</li> <li>• Evidence of the child's legitimation</li> </ul>
Child born out of wedlock	Biological father	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Evidence of the biological relationship, and</li> <li>• Evidence that a bona fide parent-child relationship has been established between the child and the abusive parent</li> </ul>

### *Stepchild*

Self-petitioning children may demonstrate a qualifying relationship if they have a step relationship with the abusive U.S. citizen or LPR parent. A step relationship is created when a child's biological or legal parent marries a person who is not the child's other biological or legal parent before the child's 18th birthday.<sup>[57]</sup> If the marriage that created the step relationship is terminated due to divorce prior to filing, the stepchild remains eligible to self-petition.<sup>[58]</sup> If the marriage is terminated due to the death of the biological or legal parent prior to filing, the stepchild may remain eligible to self-petition if a family relationship has continued to exist as a matter of fact between the stepparent and stepchild at the time of filing.<sup>[59]</sup>

To demonstrate a qualifying relationship as a stepchild of an abusive U.S. citizen or LPR stepparent, self-petitioning children must submit evidence of:

- The relationship between themselves and their biological or legal parent;
- The marriage between their biological or legal parent and the abusive stepparent before they turned 18 years old; and

- The termination of all prior marriages for both the biological or legal parent and stepparent, if applicable.<sup>[60]</sup>

Examples of evidence that demonstrate a qualifying step relationship between a self-petitioning child and an abusive stepparent may include, but are not limited to:

- The child's birth certificate issued by civil authorities;
- A civilly-issued marriage certificate of the child's biological or legal parent and stepparent showing marriage before the stepchild turned 18 years old;
- Common law marriage announcements or certificates;
- A final decree of divorce or annulment; or
- Any other credible evidence of a qualifying step relationship.<sup>[61]</sup>

#### *Intended Spouse Provision and Self-Petitioning Children*

The INA does not extend the intended spouse provision for self-petitioning spouses to self-petitioning children.<sup>[62]</sup> Therefore, if the marriage that created the step relationship is not legally valid due to bigamy or polygamy on the part of the stepparent, the child is not eligible to self-petition. However, children can be included as derivatives on their biological or legal parent's self-petition if the biological or legal parent can establish a qualifying relationship with the abusive stepparent under the intended spouse provisions.

#### *Adopted Child*

Generally, for an adoption to be the basis for granting immigration benefits, an adoption must comply with certain statutory requirements. In the family-based petition process, the statute requires that the adoptee beneficiary has been in the legal custody of and jointly resided with the adoptive parent(s) for at least 2 years.<sup>[63]</sup> Abused adopted children, however, are not required to demonstrate that the U.S. citizen or LPR had 2 years of legal custody and 2 years of joint residence with them in order to be eligible for a VAWA self-petition.<sup>[64]</sup>

Self-petitioning adopted children may demonstrate a qualifying relationship to a U.S. citizen or LPR parent if they submit evidence of an adoption that is valid for immigration purposes.<sup>[65]</sup> Generally, for an adoptive relationship to be considered valid for the family-based petition process, the U.S. citizen or LPR must have legally adopted the child while the child was under age 16.<sup>[66]</sup> In certain circumstances, the adoption may take place prior to the child attaining 18 years old if the sibling exception applies.<sup>[67]</sup> Evidence of an adoption that may demonstrate a qualifying adoptive relationship may include a copy of the legal adoption decree or order issued by the appropriate civil authority or other relevant evidence that an adoptive relationship is valid.<sup>[68]</sup>

## 4. Self-Petitioning Parent

Self-petitioning parents must demonstrate a qualifying relationship to their abusive U.S. citizen son or daughter who is 21 years of age or older.<sup>[69]</sup> The INA defines a "child" as an unmarried person who is under 21 years of age.<sup>[70]</sup> Therefore, the abusive son or daughter must have qualified as the child of the abused parent before turning 21 years of age but must be 21 years of age or older at the time of filing.<sup>[71]</sup> Parents of abusive LPR sons and daughters are not eligible for VAWA benefits.



To establish a qualifying relationship, a self-petitioning parent must be a biological parent, stepparent, or adoptive parent of an abusive U.S. citizen son or daughter.<sup>[72]</sup> The requirements for self-petitioning parents are similar to the requirements for self-petitioning children to demonstrate the required parent-child relationship.

### *Biological Parent*

Self-petitioning parents may demonstrate a qualifying relationship if they are the biological parent of the abusive U.S. citizen son or daughter. If the self-petitioning parent utilized Assisted Reproductive Technology, however, and does not have a genetic relationship to the U.S. citizen or LPR child, the parent may still be able to demonstrate a qualifying parent-child relationship in certain circumstances.<sup>[73]</sup> If the self-petitioning parent is the biological mother of the abusive U.S. citizen son or daughter, the primary evidence to demonstrate the qualifying relationship is the child's birth certificate issued by civil authorities listing the mother's name.<sup>[74]</sup> If the mother's name on the birth certificate is different from the name as reflected on the self-petition, the self-petitioner may submit evidence of the name change.<sup>[75]</sup>

If primary evidence is unavailable, other examples of evidence of a biological relationship may include, but are not limited to:

- A court decree of paternity;
- Custody or child support orders;
- A baptismal certificate (or other religious document) with the seal of the religious authority showing the date and place of birth and baptism (or similar religious ceremony) and the names of the parents;
- Early school records showing the date of admission to the school, the child's date and place of birth, and the name(s) of the parent(s);
- Medical records, such as the hospital birth record that names the parent(s) of the child;
- Census record, such as a state or federal census record showing the name, place of birth, and date of birth or age of each person listed; or
- Any other credible evidence of the relationship.

If the self-petitioning parent is the biological father of the abusive U.S. citizen son or daughter, then the parent must provide evidence demonstrating that the child was either:

- Born in wedlock;
- Legitimated, or was born out of wedlock but later placed in the same legal position as a child born in wedlock; or
- Born out of wedlock but has or had an ongoing bona fide relationship with the abused parent.<sup>[76]</sup>

For fathers whose abusive U.S. citizen sons or daughters were born in wedlock, self-petitioners must submit evidence of their biological relationship to their child, the marriage between the parents of the child, and evidence of the legal termination of all prior marriages, if applicable.<sup>[77]</sup>

Examples of such evidence may include:



- A birth certificate for the child issued by civil authorities to show a biological relationship;
- A civilly-issued marriage certificate of the parents;
- Common law marriage announcements or certificates conforming to the legal requirements of the location of the marriage;
- Proof of the legal termination of the parents' prior marriages, if any, issued by civil authorities; or
- Any other available evidence.<sup>[78]</sup>

If the child was legitimated, the father must provide evidence of a biological relationship to the child and evidence of the child's legitimation.<sup>[79]</sup> Generally, legitimation is governed by the law of the place of residence of the parent or child.<sup>[80]</sup> Self-petitioners may generally establish legitimation by showing that they married the child's other parent at any time before the child turned 18 years old.<sup>[81]</sup>

Fathers whose children were born out of wedlock and have not been legitimated must provide evidence that a bona fide parent-child relationship has been established with the child.<sup>[82]</sup> Evidence should establish more than merely a biological relationship. A bona fide parent-child relationship includes emotional or financial ties (or both) or a genuine concern or interest for the child's support, instruction, and general welfare.<sup>[83]</sup> There should be evidence that the father and child actually lived together, that the father openly held the child out as being his own, that the father provided for some or all of the child's needs, or that the father's behavior in general evidenced a genuine concern for the child.<sup>[84]</sup>

Examples of evidence to establish a bona fide parent-child relationship may include, but are not limited to:

- Money order receipts or cancelled checks showing the father's financial support of the child;
- The father's income tax returns, medical records, or insurance policies listing the child as a dependent;
- School, social services, or other state or federal government agency records for the child listing the father as a guardian or family contact;
- Correspondence between the parties;
- Notarized affidavits of friends, neighbors, school officials, or other associates with knowledge of the relationship; or
- Any other credible evidence of a bona fide parent-child relationship.

The following table provides a summary of the types of evidence sufficient to demonstrate a qualifying relationship for self-petitioning parents who have a biological relationship to their abusive U.S. citizen son or daughter.

**Self-Petitioning Parent: Required Evidence for Biological Parents**

Abusive Son or Daughter	Parent	Required Evidence <sup>[85]</sup>
-------------------------	--------	-----------------------------------

Abusive Son or Daughter	Parent	Required Evidence <sup>[85]</sup>
Son or daughter	Biological mother	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Evidence of the biological relationship</li> </ul>
Son or daughter was born in wedlock	Biological father	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Evidence of the biological relationship;</li> <li>• Evidence of the marriage of the child's parents; and</li> <li>• Evidence of the legal termination of all prior marriages, if any</li> </ul>
Legitimated son or daughter	Biological father	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Evidence of the biological relationship, and</li> <li>• Evidence of the child's legitimation</li> </ul>
Son or daughter was born out of wedlock	Biological father	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Evidence of the biological relationship, and</li> <li>• Evidence that a bona fide parent-child relationship has been established between the child and the parent</li> </ul>

### *Stepparent*

Self-petitioning parents may demonstrate a qualifying relationship if they have a stepparent relationship with the abusive U.S. citizen son or daughter. A step relationship is created if the abused parent married the son or daughter's other biological or legal parent before the son or daughter's 18th birthday.<sup>[86]</sup> If the marriage that created the step relationship is terminated due to divorce prior to filing, the stepparent remains eligible to self-petition.<sup>[87]</sup> If the marriage is terminated due to the death of the biological or legal parent prior to filing, the stepparent may remain eligible to self-petition if a family relationship has continued to exist as a matter of fact between the stepparent and stepson or stepdaughter at the time of filing.<sup>[88]</sup>

To demonstrate a qualifying stepparent relationship, self-petitioning parents must submit evidence of:

- The relationship between the biological or legal parent and the abusive son or daughter;
- Their marriage with the stepson or stepdaughter's biological or legal parent; and
- The termination all prior marriages for both themselves and their spouse (biological or legal parent), if applicable.<sup>[89]</sup>

Examples of evidence that demonstrate a qualifying step relationship between a self-petitioning stepparent and an abusive U.S. son or daughter may include, but are not limited to:

- The son or daughter's birth certificate issued by civil authorities;

- A civilly-issued marriage certificate of the stepparent and the son or daughter's biological or legal parent showing marriage before the stepson or stepdaughter turned 18 years old;
- Common law marriage announcements or certificates;
- A final decree of divorce or annulment; or
- Any other credible evidence of a qualifying step relationship.<sup>[90]</sup>

### *Adoptive Parent*

Self-petitioning parents may demonstrate a qualifying relationship if they have an adoptive relationship with their U.S. citizen son or daughter.<sup>[91]</sup> Generally, for an adoptive relationship to be the basis for granting immigration benefits, the adoption must be valid for immigration purposes<sup>[92]</sup> and comply with certain statutory requirements.<sup>[93]</sup>

For the adoptive relationship to be considered valid under [INA 101\(b\)\(1\)\(E\)](#), a child generally must be adopted while under age 16.<sup>[94]</sup> Unlike abused adopted children, abused adoptive parents must demonstrate 2 years of legal custody and 2 years of joint residence with their adopted U.S. citizen son or daughter in order to establish a qualifying adoptive relationship.<sup>[95]</sup> Self-petitioning parents may demonstrate a qualifying adoptive relationship by submitting evidence of:

- An adoption that is valid for immigration purposes;
- 2 years of legal custody of the adopted son or daughter; and
- 2 years of joint residence with the adopted son or daughter.<sup>[96]</sup>

Self-petitioning parents may also establish an adoptive parent-child relationship under [INA 101\(b\)\(1\)\(F\)](#) or [INA 101\(b\)\(1\)\(G\)](#).<sup>[97]</sup>

## C. Good Faith Marriage (Self-Petitioning Spouses Only)

A self-petitioning spouse's eligibility for the self-petition requires more than showing a legal marital relationship to a U.S. citizen or LPR. The self-petitioner must also establish that the marriage was entered into in good faith and was not entered into for the purpose of evading immigration laws.<sup>[98]</sup>

To demonstrate a good faith marriage, self-petitioning spouses must show that at the time of the marriage, they intended to establish a life together with the U.S. citizen or LPR. USCIS does not deny a self-petition, however, solely because the spouses are not living together, or the marriage is no longer viable.<sup>[99]</sup> Additionally, separation from the U.S. citizen or LPR spouse, even shortly after the marriage took place, does not prove by itself that a marriage was not entered into in good faith.<sup>[100]</sup>

Examples of evidence to demonstrate good faith entry into the marriage may include, but are not limited to:

- Documentation that one spouse has been listed as the other spouse's beneficiary on insurance policies;
- Joint property leases, income tax forms, or accounts (for example, bank accounts, utility statements or accounts, and credit cards accounts);

- Evidence of courtship, a wedding ceremony, a shared residence, or shared experiences;
- Birth certificates of children born to the self-petitioner and abusive spouse;
- Police, medical, or court documents providing information about the relationship;
- Affidavits of persons with personal knowledge of the relationship; or
- Any other credible evidence that demonstrates the self-petitioner’s intentions for entering into the marriage. [\[101\]](#)

## D. Eligible for Immigrant Classification

A VAWA self-petitioner must establish eligibility for a family-based immigrant classification as either an immediate relative or under a family-based preference category. [\[102\]](#) VAWA eligibility generally extends to children, spouses, and parents of abusive U.S. citizens, who are considered immediate relatives, and spouses and children of abusive LPRs, who are included in family-based preference categories.

Because VAWA self-petitions provide for family-based immigrant classification, they must comply with provisions applicable to family-based petitions, including [INA 204\(c\)](#), [INA 204\(g\)](#), and [INA 204\(a\)\(2\)](#), which address issues involving current and prior marriages. [\[103\]](#)

Note that [INA 204\(a\)\(2\)](#) applies to self-petitioners who acquire LPR status and subsequently file a family-based spousal petition. This does not apply to a self-petition filed based on a relationship with an abusive LPR spouse.

## E. Subjected to Battery or Extreme Cruelty

Self-petitioners must demonstrate that their U.S. citizen or LPR relative battered or subjected them to extreme cruelty during the qualifying relationship. [\[104\]](#) Note that for abused adopted children, the battery or extreme cruelty may be committed by an adoptive parent or a family member of an adoptive parent residing in the same household. [\[105\]](#) For all other self-petitioners, battery or extreme cruelty committed by a third party may constitute abuse where the U.S. citizen or LPR acquiesced to, condoned, or participated in the abusive act(s). [\[106\]](#)

The battery or extreme cruelty must have been committed against the self-petitioner, or for self-petitioning spouses, against their child(ren), and must have taken place during the qualifying relationship. For self-petitioning children, there is also a requirement that the child was residing with the abuser when the abuse occurred. [\[107\]](#) However, residence for a child may also include any period of visitation. [\[108\]](#)

Note that if the self-petitioner is a stepchild or stepparent, the abuse must have occurred during the step-relationship. Evidence, however, of any abuse occurring at any time may be used to establish a pattern of abuse to support the claim.

### Period of Battery or Extreme Cruelty

Self-Petitioner	When the battery or extreme cruelty must have taken place
-----------------	---

Self-Petitioner	When the battery or extreme cruelty must have taken place
Spouse	During the qualifying marriage
Child	During the claimed relationship while the child was under 21 years old and while the child was residing with or visiting the parent <sup>[109]</sup>
Parent	During the claimed relationship and while the son or daughter was 21 years old or older

## 1. Battery and Extreme Cruelty

The definitions for battery and extreme cruelty are flexible and broad. Other terms, such as abuse, acts of violence, and domestic violence, are often used to describe battery and extreme cruelty.

Battery generally includes any offensive touching or use of force on a person without the person's consent.<sup>[110]</sup> Some examples include, but are not limited to, punching, slapping, spitting, biting, kicking, choking, kidnapping, rape, molestation, forced prostitution, sexual abuse, and sexual exploitation. Other abusive actions may also be physical acts of violence and, under certain circumstances, include acts that in and of themselves may not initially appear violent but that are part of an overall pattern of violence.

Extreme cruelty is a non-physical act of violence or threat of violence demonstrating a pattern or intent on the part of the U.S. citizen or LPR to attain compliance from or control over the self-petitioner.<sup>[111]</sup> USCIS determines whether a self-petitioner has demonstrated extreme cruelty occurred on a case-by-case basis, and no single factor is conclusive.

Acts of extreme cruelty may include but are not limited to:

- Isolation;
- Humiliation;
- Degradation, use of guilt, minimizing, or blaming;
- Economic control;
- Coercion;
- Threatening to commit a violent act toward the self-petitioner (or the self-petitioner's children);
- Acts intended to create fear, compliance, or submission by the self-petitioner;
- Controlling what self-petitioners do and who they see and talk to;
- Denying access to food, family, or medical treatment;
- Threats of deportation; and

- Threats to remove a child from the self-petitioner's custody.

Battery or extreme cruelty may also include:

- Any act or threatened act of violence, including forced detention, which results or threatens to result in physical or mental injury;
- Psychological or sexual abuse or exploitation, including rape, molestation, incest, or forced prostitution;
- Acts that may not initially appear violent but are a part of an overall pattern of violence;
- Acts aimed at some other person or thing may be considered abuse if the acts were deliberately used to perpetrate extreme cruelty against the self-petitioner or the self-petitioner's child;
- Acts by a third party when the abusive U.S. citizen or LPR acquiesced to, condoned, or participated in the abuse; and
- Spousal abuse, if witnessed by the child of the victim, may be used as the basis for a self-petition by that child. [\[112\]](#)

## 2. Evidence

Examples of evidence to demonstrate battery or extreme cruelty occurred include but are not limited to:

- Reports and affidavits from police, judges, or other court officials;
- Court records;
- Reports and affidavits from medical personnel;
- Medical records;
- Reports and affidavits from school officials;
- Affidavits from a member of a religious authority;
- Reports and affidavits from social workers or other social service agency personnel;
- Documentation showing the self-petitioner sought safe-haven or services from a domestic violence shelter or other service provider;
- Protection orders;
- Photographs of injuries;
- Psychological evaluations; or
- Any other credible evidence of battery or extreme cruelty. [\[113\]](#)

Self-petitioners who obtained an order of protection against the abuser or have taken other legal steps to end the abuse are strongly encouraged to submit copies of the related legal documents. [\[114\]](#)



Moreover, evidence that the abuse victim sought safe-haven in a domestic violence shelter or similar refuge may also be relevant, as may a combination of documents such as a photograph of the visibly injured self-petitioner supported by affidavits.<sup>[115]</sup> Evidence of non-abusive acts may also be submitted to establish and demonstrate a pattern of abuse and violence.<sup>[116]</sup>

The self-petitioner is encouraged to submit a detailed personal statement as evidence supporting the self-petition. The self-petitioner's personal statement should provide as much detailed information as possible addressing specific incidents of battery or extreme cruelty.

## F. Residence with the Abusive Relative

The self-petitioner must reside or have resided with the abuser in the past to be eligible for the self-petition. USCIS no longer requires the self-petitioner to have resided with the abuser during the qualifying relationship.<sup>[117]</sup> Residence is defined as the person's general place of abode or the principal, actual dwelling place of the self-petitioner without regard to intent.<sup>[118]</sup> A self-petitioner cannot meet the residency requirement by merely visiting the abuser's home while maintaining a general place of abode or a principal dwelling place elsewhere.<sup>[119]</sup>

Self-petitioners must have resided with the abuser at any point prior to filing the self-petition or reside with the abuser when they file the self-petition. The self-petitioner is not required, however, to have resided with the abuser for any specific length of time, to have resided with the abuser in the United States, or to have resided with the abuser during the qualifying relationship.<sup>[120]</sup> There is also no requirement for self-petitioners to be living with the abuser at the time they file the self-petition or, for self-petitioning spouses and parents, when the abuse occurred.<sup>[121]</sup>

For self-petitioning children, there is a requirement that they resided with the abuser when the abuse occurred.<sup>[122]</sup> However, residence for a child may also include any period of visitation.<sup>[123]</sup>

If self-petitioners are in the United States at the time they file the self-petition, the shared residence can have occurred either in or outside the United States.<sup>[124]</sup>

Examples of evidence demonstrating shared residence with the abusive U.S. citizen or LPR may include but are not limited to:

- Leases, deeds, mortgages, or rental agreements listing the self-petitioner and the U.S. citizen or LPR as occupants or owners;
- Insurance policies listing a common address for the self-petitioner and U.S. citizen or LPR;
- Utility invoices listing a common address for the self-petitioner and U.S. citizen or LPR;
- Bank statements or financial documents listing a common address for the self-petitioner and U.S. citizen or LPR;
- Photocopies of income tax filings listing the self-petitioner and the U.S. citizen or LPR;
- School records listing the parent and address of record;
- Medical records or a statement from the self-petitioner's physician;



- Affidavits of friends and family who can verify that the self-petitioner and the U.S. citizen or LPR resided together during the marriage; or
- Any other credible evidence of shared residence. [\[125\]](#)

## G. Good Moral Character

### 1. General Requirements

Self-petitioners must demonstrate that they are persons of good moral character in order to be eligible for a VAWA self-petition. [\[126\]](#) USCIS generally looks at the 3-year period immediately preceding the date the self-petition is filed, and the self-petitioner's conduct is evaluated on a case-by-case basis taking into account the provisions regarding good moral character in [INA 101\(f\)](#) and the standards of the average citizen in the community. [\[127\]](#)

### 2. Special Considerations for Children Under 14 Years of Age

A self-petitioning child who is under 14 years old is presumed to be a person of good moral character and is not required to submit evidence of good moral character with the self-petition. [\[128\]](#)

The presumption, however, does not preclude USCIS from requesting evidence of good moral character if there is reason to believe that the self-petitioning child may lack good moral character. [\[129\]](#) USCIS has discretion to request evidence of good moral character for a self-petitioning child under 14 years of age and could find that a person under the age of 14 lacks good moral character. [\[130\]](#)

### 3. Evaluating Good Moral Character

USCIS evaluates a self-petitioner's claim of good moral character on a case-by-case basis, considering the provisions of [INA 101\(f\)](#) and the standards of the average citizen in the community, and may consider any conduct, behavior, acts, or convictions. [\[131\]](#)

Although the evidentiary requirements for good moral character focus on the 3-year period preceding the filing of the self-petition, the eligibility requirements do not specify a time period during which self-petitioners must demonstrate their good moral character. [\[132\]](#) USCIS may review and request any evidence of good moral character or a lack of good moral character for any time period before or after the filing of the self-petition if USCIS has reason to believe the self-petitioner lacks good moral character. [\[133\]](#)

A self-petitioner is required to maintain good moral character through the time of final adjudication of both the self-petition and the adjustment of status application. [\[134\]](#)

If the results of criminal records checks conducted prior to the approval of the self-petition or adjustment of status application disclose that the self-petitioner is no longer a person of good moral character or that the self-petitioner has not been a person of good moral character in the past, USCIS denies the self-petition if it is pending or revokes the self-petition if it was previously approved. [\[135\]](#)

*Permanent and Conditional Bars Under INA 101(f)*

[INA 101\(f\)](#) lists the classes of persons who are statutorily barred from being considered a person of good moral character. Self-petitioners who fall under certain categories under [INA 101\(f\)](#) are permanently barred from establishing good moral character. <sup>[136]</sup>

Permanent bars apply to a self-petitioner:

- Who has at any time on or after November 29, 1990 been convicted of an aggravated felony; or
- Who at any time has engaged in conduct described in [INA 212\(a\)\(3\)\(E\)](#) (relating to assistance in Nazi persecution, participation in genocide, or commission of acts of torture or extrajudicial killings) or [INA 212\(a\)\(2\)\(G\)](#) (relating to severe violations of religious freedom). <sup>[137]</sup>

Other bars, however, are not permanent in nature and are considered “conditional bars.” Conditional bars are triggered by specific acts, offenses, activities, circumstances, or convictions under [INA 101\(f\)](#) that occurred in the 3-year period immediately preceding the filing of the self-petition. <sup>[138]</sup> When a conditional bar is triggered, USCIS has discretion to make a finding of good moral character despite an act or conviction falling under the conditional bar.

These self-petitioners may still be considered persons of good moral character if:

- The act or conviction is waivable for purposes of determining inadmissibility or deportability; and
- The act or conviction was connected to the self-petitioner’s having been battered or subjected to extreme cruelty. <sup>[139]</sup>

Conditional bars apply to a self-petitioner who, during the 3-year period for which good moral character is required to be established:

- Is or was a habitual drunkard;
- Is or was engaged in prostitution during the past 10 years as described in [INA 212\(a\)\(2\)\(D\)](#);
- Is or was involved in the smuggling of a person or persons into the United States as described in [INA 212\(a\)\(6\)\(E\)](#);
- Is or was a practicing polygamist;
- Has been convicted or admits committing acts that constitute a crime involving moral turpitude other than a purely political offense, except for certain petty offenses or offenses committed while the person was less than 18 years old as described in [INA 212\(a\)\(2\)\(A\)\(ii\)](#);
- Has committed two or more offenses for which the applicant was convicted, and the aggregate sentence actually imposed was 5 years or more, provided that, if an offense was committed outside the United States, it was not purely a political offense;
- Has violated laws relating to a controlled substance, except for simple possession of 30 grams or less of marijuana;
- Earns income principally from illegal gambling activities or has been convicted of two or more gambling offenses;
- Has given false testimony for the purpose of obtaining immigration benefits; or

- Has been confined as a result of a conviction to a penal institution for an aggregate period of 180 days or more. [\[140\]](#)

USCIS may only look to the judicial records to determine whether the person has been convicted of a crime and may not look behind the conviction to reach an independent determination concerning guilt or innocence. [\[141\]](#)

#### *Acts or Convictions Under INA 101(f) That Occur Outside the 3-Year Period*

If a self-petitioner's prior acts or convictions fall under a conditional bar but occurred outside the 3 years immediately preceding the filing of the self-petition, USCIS considers all evidence in the record to make an individualized determination as to whether the self-petitioner has established good moral character.

Officers must consider the totality of the evidence, including all positive and negative factors, to determine whether under the standards of the average citizen of the community self-petitioners established their good moral character. [\[142\]](#) Some relevant considerations may include but are not limited to the severity of the act or conviction and whether the self-petitioner has demonstrated rehabilitation of character.

#### *Unlawful Acts*

Self-petitioners who willfully failed or refused to support dependents, committed unlawful acts that adversely reflect on their moral character, or were convicted or imprisoned for such acts but the acts do not fall under [INA 101\(f\)](#) will be considered as lacking good moral character unless they establish extenuating circumstances. [\[143\]](#)

Persons who were subjected to abuse in the form of forced prostitution or who can establish that they were forced to engage in other behavior that could render them inadmissible may still be considered a person of good moral character if they have not been convicted for the commission of the offense. [\[144\]](#)

#### *All Other Conduct and Acts*

If there is evidence that a self-petitioner's conduct or acts do not fall under [INA 101\(f\)](#) but are contrary to the standards of the average citizen in the community, the officer must consider all of the evidence in the record and make a case-by-case determination as to whether the self-petitioner has established good moral character under the standards of the average citizen in the community. [\[145\]](#) Some relevant considerations may include but are not limited to the severity of the conduct or act and whether the self-petitioner has demonstrated rehabilitation of character.

#### *Evidence*

Primary evidence of good moral character is the self-petitioner's affidavit, which should contain detailed statements regarding the self-petitioner's conduct and behavior establishing good moral character. [\[146\]](#) In addition to the affidavit, the self-petitioner should also submit a local police clearance or a state-issued criminal background check from each locality or state in the United States in which the self-petitioner has resided for 6 or more months during the 3-year period immediately preceding the filing of the self-petition. [\[147\]](#)

If self-petitioners reside or have resided outside the United States, they should submit a police clearance, criminal background check, or similar report issued by the appropriate authority in the foreign country in which they resided for 6 or more months during the 3-year period immediately preceding the filing of the self-petition. [\[148\]](#)

Self-petitioners are encouraged to submit clearances or background checks based on their name and date of birth or based on their fingerprints. If the search conducted is based on a name and date of birth, self-petitioners are encouraged to provide clearances under all their aliases, including any maiden names, if applicable.

If police clearances, criminal background checks, or similar reports are not available for some or all locations, self-petitioners are encouraged to submit a detailed statement explaining the reasons they could not obtain the clearances and why the lack of a police clearance does not adversely reflect upon the self-petitioner's good moral character. Officers may not deny self-petitions for a failure to submit criminal background checks or police clearances if self-petitioners have submitted an affidavit attesting that they have never been arrested.

In addition to the self-petitioner's affidavit and police clearances or criminal background checks, USCIS considers any other credible evidence of good moral character, such as affidavits from responsible persons who can knowledgeably attest to the self-petitioner's good moral character. [\[149\]](#)

Affidavits attesting to good moral character should generally contain the affiant's full name, address, telephone number, date and place of birth, relationship to the parties, if any, and details concerning how the affiant acquired knowledge of the self-petitioner's good moral character.

Self-petitioners who have been arrested, charged, or otherwise have a criminal record should provide the following additional evidence, if available:

- Copies of arrest report(s);
- Certified copies of court documents showing final disposition of any charge(s); and
- Relevant excerpts of law for the jurisdiction where the act took place listing the maximum possible penalty for each charge.

## 4. Evaluating Acts or Convictions Falling Under the Conditional Bars Listed in INA 101(f)

If a self-petitioner has committed an act or has a conviction that falls under a conditional bar under [INA 101\(f\)](#), then the officer must consider the following:

- Whether a waiver for the act or conviction would be available;
- Whether the act or conviction is connected to battery or extreme cruelty experienced by the self-petitioner; and
- Whether the person warrants a finding of good moral character in the exercise of discretion. [\[150\]](#)

### *Step 1: Determine Whether a Waiver Would be Available*

If the self-petitioner has committed an act or has a conviction that falls under a conditional bar, the officer should first determine whether a waiver would be available. The self-petitioner must submit evidence addressing whether a waiver would be available for the act or conviction at issue. [\[151\]](#)

The officer does not need to consider whether a waiver would be granted, only that a waiver would be available at the time the adjustment of status or immigrant visa application is filed. [\[152\]](#) If officers are uncertain whether a waiver is available, they should seek guidance from the local Office of Chief Counsel before making a final determination.

#### *Step 2: Determine Whether the Act or Conviction is “Connected” to the Battery or Extreme Cruelty*

If a waiver is available for the act or conviction, officers must consider whether the act or conviction is “connected” to the battery or extreme cruelty experienced by the self-petitioner. For an act or conviction to be considered connected to the battery or extreme cruelty, the evidence must establish that the act or conviction has a causal or logical relationship to the battery or extreme cruelty. [\[153\]](#) The connection does not require compulsion or coercion on the part of the self-petitioner. To meet this evidentiary standard, the evidence submitted must demonstrate the following:

- The circumstances surrounding the act or conviction committed by the self-petitioner; and
- The connection between the act or conviction and the battery or extreme cruelty.

When determining whether a connection exists between the self-petitioner’s disqualifying act or conviction and the battery or extreme cruelty suffered by the self-petitioner, USCIS considers the full history of abuse in the case. The self-petitioner’s qualifying U.S. citizen or LPR relative must have perpetrated the battery or extreme cruelty during the qualifying relationship, but the self-petitioner is not required to establish that the act or conviction occurred during the qualifying relationship.

If the self-petitioner establishes that the battery or extreme cruelty occurred prior to and during the qualifying relationship, the officer may find that the self-petitioner has established the required “connection” between the act or conviction and the battery or extreme cruelty, even if the act or conviction occurred prior to the qualifying relationship.

#### *Step 3: Determine Whether the Self-Petitioner Warrants a Finding of Good Moral Character in the Exercise of Discretion*

Whether a self-petitioner is a person of good moral character under the exception at [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(C\)](#) is a discretionary determination made by USCIS. For example, even if the evidence establishes both that a waiver for the self-petitioner’s disqualifying act or conviction is available and that the requisite connection exists between the disqualifying act or conviction and the battery or extreme cruelty, USCIS may nevertheless conclude that the severity or gravity of the self-petitioner’s act or conviction warrants a finding of a lack of good moral character.

## H. Self-Petitioners Filing from Outside the United States

If self-petitioners are outside the United States when they file the self-petition, they must demonstrate one of the following in addition to the eligibility requirements listed in this chapter:

- The abusive U.S. citizen or LPR is employed abroad by the U.S. government;
- The abusive U.S. citizen or LPR is a member of the U.S. uniformed services stationed outside the United States; or
- The claimed battery or extreme cruelty occurred in the United States. [\[154\]](#)



If USCIS approves the self-petition and a visa is available, the self-petitioner may apply for an immigrant visa to enter the United States as an LPR. <sup>[155]</sup>

## I. Derivative Beneficiaries

Self-petitioning spouses and children may include their child(ren) as derivative beneficiaries on the self-petition. <sup>[156]</sup> Self-petitioning parents, however, are not eligible to confer derivative benefits to their family members. If self-petitioning parents include a derivative on their self-petition, the self-petition will not be denied. Any listed derivatives, however, are not eligible to derive status and do not receive any benefit under the approved self-petition.

Derivative children must be unmarried and less than 21 years old at the time of filing and otherwise qualify as the self-petitioner's child under immigration law. <sup>[157]</sup> The statutory definition of "child" includes certain children born in or out of wedlock and certain legitimated children, adopted children, and stepchildren. <sup>[158]</sup>

Self-petitioners may add an eligible child, including a child born after the self-petition was approved, when the self-petitioner applies for an immigrant visa outside the United States or adjustment of status in the United States. <sup>[159]</sup> A new petition is not required.

Self-petitioners should submit evidence that the derivative beneficiary is under 21 years old and unmarried at the time of filing as well as evidence of the relationship between the self-petitioner and the child. <sup>[160]</sup> Derivative beneficiaries are granted the same immigrant classification and priority date as the self-petitioner. <sup>[161]</sup>

If a child turns 21 years old and is unable to benefit from the Child Status Protection Act (CSPA), as long as the self-petition was filed before the child turned 21 years old, the child is automatically considered a principal self-petitioner if the child turns 21 years old before adjusting status. <sup>[162]</sup> In such a case, the child receives the priority date of the parent's self-petition. <sup>[163]</sup> Derivatives do not need to file a separate self-petition; they are placed in the preference category appropriate to their situation. <sup>[164]</sup>

## Footnotes

---

<sup>[^ 1]</sup> See Title IV of the Violent Crime Control and Law Enforcement Act of 1994, [Pub. L. 103-322 \(PDF\)](#), 108 Stat. 1796, 1902 (September 13, 1994). See Title V of the Victims of Trafficking and Violence Protection Act of 2000, [Pub. L. 106-386 \(PDF\)](#), 114 Stat. 1464, 1518 (October 28, 2000). See Title VIII of the Violence Against Women and Department of Justice Reauthorization Act of 2005, [Pub. L. 109-162 \(PDF\)](#), 119 Stat. 2960, 3053 (January 5, 2006). See Section 6 of the Violence Against Women and Department of Justice Reauthorization Act of 2005 Technical Amendments, [Pub. L. 109-271 \(PDF\)](#), 120 Stat. 750, 762 (August 12, 2006). See Title VIII of the Violence Against Women Reauthorization Act of 2013, [Pub. L. 113-4 \(PDF\)](#), 127 Stat. 54, 110 (March 7, 2013).

<sup>[^ 2]</sup> See [INA 101\(a\)\(51\)](#). Although [INA 101\(a\)\(51\)](#) includes several benefits under the term "VAWA self-petitioner," this part focuses on self-petitions filed under [INA 204\(a\)](#).

<sup>[^ 3]</sup> See [8 CFR 204.1\(a\)\(3\)](#).

<sup>[^ 4]</sup> See [INA 204\(a\)](#). See [8 CFR 204.2\(c\)\(1\)\(i\)](#). See [8 CFR 204.2\(e\)\(1\)\(i\)](#). See [INA 245\(a\)](#).

[^ 5] See [INA 204\(a\)](#). See [8 CFR 204.1\(a\)\(3\)](#). See [8 CFR 204.2\(c\)\(1\)](#). See [8 CFR 204.2\(e\)\(1\)](#). Although [8 CFR 204.2\(c\)\(1\)](#) and [8 CFR 204.2\(e\)\(1\)](#) require self-petitioners to demonstrate extreme hardship to themselves or their children if deported; that they reside in the United States at the time of filing; and that their shared residence with the abuser takes place in the United States, the Victims of Trafficking and Violence Protection Act of 2000, [Pub. L. 106-386 \(PDF\)](#), 114 Stat. 1464 (October 28, 2000) removed these as eligibility requirements and supersedes this part of the regulation.

[^ 6] See [INA 201\(b\)\(2\)\(A\)\(i\)](#). See [INA 203\(a\)\(2\)\(A\)](#).

[^ 7] See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)](#). See [8 CFR 204.2\(c\)\(1\)](#). See [8 CFR 204.2\(e\)\(1\)](#).

[^ 8] For more information on evidentiary requirements, see Chapter 5, Adjudication, Section B, Review of Evidence, Subsection 2, Any Credible Evidence Provision [[3 USCIS-PM D.5\(B\)\(2\)](#)].

[^ 9] See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(J\)](#). See [8 CFR 103.2\(b\)\(2\)\(iii\)](#). See [8 CFR 204.1\(f\)\(1\)](#). See [8 CFR 204.2\(c\)\(2\)\(i\)](#). See [8 CFR 204.2\(e\)\(2\)\(i\)](#). [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(J\)](#) was not specifically amended to encompass the consideration of secondary evidence submitted by self-petitioning parents. The discussion of evidence found at [8 CFR 103.2\(b\)\(2\)\(iii\)](#) and [8 CFR 204.1\(f\)\(1\)](#) regarding self-petitions filed under [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(A\)\(iii\) and \(iv\)](#) and [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(B\)\(ii\) and \(iii\)](#) is applicable to self-petitions filed by abused parents of U.S. citizen sons or daughters under [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(A\)\(vii\)](#). For more information about the any credible evidence provision, see Chapter 5, Adjudication, Section B, Review of Evidence, Subsection 2, Any Credible Evidence Provision [[3 USCIS-PM D.5\(B\)\(2\)](#)].

[^ 10] See [8 CFR 204.1\(f\)\(1\)](#).

[^ 11] See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(J\)](#). See [8 CFR 204.2\(c\)\(2\)\(i\)](#). See [8 CFR 204.2\(e\)\(2\)\(i\)](#).

[^ 12] See [8 CFR 103.2\(b\)\(1\)](#).

[^ 13] See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)](#). See [8 CFR 204.2\(c\)\(2\)\(ii\)](#). See [8 CFR 204.2\(e\)\(2\)\(ii\)](#).

[^ 14] Self-petitioning spouses may also include certain intended spouses and former spouses. For more information, see Subsection 2, Self-Petitioning Spouse [[3 USCIS-PM D.2\(B\)\(2\)](#)]; Chapter 3, Effect of Certain Life Events, Section A, Divorce Prior to Filing the Self-Petition, Subsection 1, Self-Petitioning Spouse's Divorce [[3 USCIS-PM D.3\(A\)\(1\)](#)]; and Chapter 3, Effect of Certain Life Events, Section D, Death of the U.S. Citizen, Lawful Permanent Resident, or Self-Petitioner [[3 USCIS-PM D.3\(D\)](#)].

[^ 15] See [INA 204\(a\)](#). See [8 CFR 204.2\(c\)\(1\)\(i\)-\(iii\)](#). See [8 CFR 204.2\(e\)\(1\)\(i\)-\(iii\)](#).

[^ 16] See [8 CFR 204.2\(c\)\(2\)\(ii\)](#). See [8 CFR 204.2\(e\)\(2\)\(ii\)](#).

[^ 17] See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)](#). See [8 CFR 103.2\(b\)\(1\)](#).

[^ 18] See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(A\)\(iii\)\(II\)\(aa\)\(CC\)\(bbb\)](#). See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(A\)\(iv\)](#). See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(A\)\(vii\)](#). See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(B\)\(ii\)\(II\)\(aa\)\(CC\)\(aaa\)](#). See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(B\)\(iii\)](#). For more information, see Chapter 3, Effect of Certain Life Events, Section E, Loss or Renunciation of U.S. Citizenship or Loss of Lawful Permanent Resident Status [[3 USCIS-PM D.3\(E\)](#)].

[^ 19] See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(A\)\(vi\)](#). See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(B\)\(v\)](#).

[^ 20] See [8 CFR 204.1\(g\)\(1\)](#). Note that self-petitioners may submit any credible evidence relevant to the abuser's U.S. citizenship or LPR status.



[^ 21] See [8 CFR 204.1\(g\)\(1\)](#). Note that self-petitioners may submit any credible evidence relevant to the abuser's U.S. citizenship or LPR status.

[^ 22] See [8 CFR 103.2\(b\)\(17\)\(ii\)](#). See [8 CFR 204.1\(g\)\(3\)](#).

[^ 23] See [8 CFR 103.2\(b\)\(17\)\(ii\)](#). See [8 CFR 204.1\(g\)\(3\)](#).

[^ 24] See *Matter of B--*, 6 I&N Dec. 555 (BIA 1955). See [Matter of Ah San \(PDF\)](#), 15 I&N Dec. 315 (BIA 1975).

[^ 25] See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(A\)\(iii\)\(II\)\(aa\)](#). See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(B\)\(ii\)\(II\)\(aa\)](#). See [8 CFR 204.2\(c\)\(1\)\(i\)-\(iii\)](#).

[^ 26] See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(A\)\(iii\)\(II\)\(aa\)\(CC\)](#). See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(B\)\(ii\)\(II\)\(aa\)\(CC\)](#). For more information, see Chapter 3, Effect of Certain Life Events, Section A, Divorce Prior to Filing the Self-Petition [[3 USCIS-PM D.3\(A\)](#)] and Section D, Death of the U.S. Citizen, Lawful Permanent Resident, or Self-Petitioner [[3 USCIS-PM D.3\(D\)](#)]. Although [8 CFR 204.2\(c\)\(1\)\(i\)\(A\)](#) requires that the self-petitioner demonstrate an existing marriage to the abuser at the time of filing, the Victims of Trafficking and Violence Protection Act of 2000 (VTVPA), [Pub. L. 106-386 \(PDF\)](#), 114 Stat. 1464 (October 28, 2000) amended this requirement to allow abused spouses to remain eligible for VAWA benefits if the marriage was terminated due to divorce or death in certain circumstances. VTVPA supersedes this part of the regulation.

[^ 27] See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(A\)\(vi\)](#). See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(B\)\(v\)](#).

[^ 28] See [Matter of Lovo-Lara \(PDF\)](#), 23 I&N Dec. 746 (BIA 2005) and [Matter of Da Silva \(PDF\)](#), 15 I&N Dec. 778 (BIA 1976). To determine the validity of a marriage, USCIS considers the same evidence submitted for a spousal-based Petition for Alien Relative, ([Form I-130](#)). For more information on Form I-130 and what constitutes a legally valid marriage, see Volume 6, Immigrants, Part B, Family-Based Immigrants [[6 USCIS-PM B](#)].

[^ 29] See [Matter of H-- \(PDF\)](#), 9 I&N Dec. 640 (BIA 1962). A polygamous marriage, even if valid where contracted, is not recognized for immigration purposes.

[^ 30] See [8 CFR 204.2\(c\)\(2\)\(ii\)](#).

[^ 31] See [8 CFR 204.2\(c\)\(2\)\(ii\)](#).

[^ 32] See [8 CFR 204.2\(c\)\(2\)\(ii\)](#).

[^ 33] See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(A\)\(iii\)\(II\)\(aa\)\(BB\)](#). See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(B\)\(ii\)\(II\)\(aa\)\(BB\)](#).

[^ 34] For more information on marriages terminated outside the United States, see Volume 6, Immigrants, Part B, Family-Based Immigrants [[6 USCIS-PM B](#)].

[^ 35] See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(A\)\(iii\)\(II\)\(aa\)\(BB\)](#). See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(B\)\(ii\)\(II\)\(aa\)\(BB\)](#).

[^ 36] See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(A\)\(iii\)\(II\)\(aa\)\(BB\)](#). See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(B\)\(ii\)\(II\)\(aa\)\(BB\)](#).

[^ 37] See [INA 204\(a\)\(iii\)\(I\)\(bb\)](#). See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(B\)\(ii\)\(I\)\(bb\)](#). See [INA 101\(b\)\(1\)](#).

[^ 38] See [8 CFR 204.2\(c\)\(2\)\(ii\)](#).

[^ 39] See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(A\)\(iv\)](#). See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(B\)\(iii\)](#). See [INA 101\(b\)\(1\)](#). See [8 CFR 204.2\(e\)\(1\)\(ii\)](#).

[^ 40] See [INA 101\(b\)\(1\)](#). See [8 CFR 204.2\(e\)\(1\)\(ii\)](#).

[^ 41] See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(D\)\(i\)](#). See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(D\)\(v\)](#). See [INA 201\(f\)](#). See [INA 203\(h\)](#). For more information, see Chapter 3, Effect of Certain Life Events, Section G, Child Turning 21 Years Old [[3 USCIS-PM D.3\(G\)](#)].

[^ 42] See [8 CFR 204.2\(e\)\(1\)\(ii\)](#). For more information, see Chapter 3, Effect of Certain Life Events, Section B, Self-Petitioner's Marriage or Remarriage [[3 USCIS-PM D.3\(B\)](#)].

[^ 43] See [INA 101\(b\)\(1\)](#). See [8 CFR 204.2\(e\)\(1\)\(ii\)](#).

[^ 44] For more information, see Volume 6, Immigrants, Part B, Family-Based Immigrants, Chapter 8, Children, Sons, and Daughters [[6 USCIS-PM B.8](#)] and Volume 12, Citizenship and Naturalization, Part H, Children of U.S. Citizens, Chapter 3, U.S. Citizens at Birth (INA 301 and 309), Section B, Child Born in Wedlock [[12 USCIS-PM H.3\(B\)](#)].

[^ 45] See [8 CFR 204.2\(e\)\(2\)\(ii\)\(A\)](#). For more information on acquiring U.S. citizenship at birth, see Volume 12, Citizenship and Naturalization, Part H, Children of U.S. Citizens, Chapter 3, U.S. Citizens at Birth (INA 301 and 309) [[12 USCIS-PM H.3](#)].

[^ 46] See [8 CFR 204.2\(d\)\(2\)\(i\)](#). USCIS considers the same evidence submitted to demonstrate a parent-child relationship under [8 CFR 204.2\(d\)\(2\)](#) as for a child filing a self-petition. Note that officers should always consider any credible evidence submitted by the self-petitioner in accordance with [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(J\)](#).

[^ 47] See [INA 101\(b\)\(1\)\(A\)](#). See [INA 101\(b\)\(1\)\(C\)](#). See [INA 101\(b\)\(1\)\(D\)](#). See [8 CFR 204.2\(e\)\(2\)\(ii\)\(B\)-\(D\)](#).

[^ 48] See [8 CFR 204.2\(e\)\(2\)\(ii\)\(B\)](#).

[^ 49] See [8 CFR 204.2\(d\)\(2\)\(i\)](#).

[^ 50] See [INA 101\(b\)\(1\)\(C\)](#). See [8 CFR 204.2\(e\)\(2\)\(ii\)\(C\)](#).

[^ 51] See [INA 101\(b\)\(1\)\(C\)](#). See [8 CFR 204.2\(d\)\(2\)\(ii\)](#).

[^ 52] See [INA 101\(b\)\(1\)\(C\)](#). See [8 CFR 204.2\(d\)\(2\)\(ii\)](#). For more information on legitimation, see Volume 6, Immigrants, Part B, Family-Based Immigrants [[6 USCIS-PM B](#)].

[^ 53] See [INA 101\(b\)\(1\)\(C\)](#). See [8 CFR 204.2\(e\)\(2\)\(ii\)\(D\)](#).

[^ 54] See [8 CFR 204.2\(d\)\(2\)\(iii\)](#).

[^ 55] See [8 CFR 204.2\(d\)\(2\)\(iii\)](#).

[^ 56] See [8 CFR 204.2\(d\)\(2\)](#). See [8 CFR 204.2\(e\)\(2\)\(ii\)](#). See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(J\)](#). See [8 CFR 204.2\(e\)\(2\)\(i\)](#). For more information on the consideration of evidence, see Chapter 5, Adjudication, Section B, Review of Evidence, Subsection 2, Any Credible Evidence Provision [[3 USCIS-PM D.5\(B\)\(2\)](#)].

[^ 57] See [INA 101\(b\)\(1\)\(B\)](#).

[^ 58] See *Arguijo v. USCIS*, 991 F.3d 736 (7th Cir. 2021), holding that divorce does not terminate a stepchild relationship for the purposes of eligibility for a VAWA self-petition. For more information, see Chapter 3,

Effect of Certain Life Events, Section A, Divorce Prior to Filing the Self-Petition, Subsection 2, Termination of a Step-Relationship Due to Divorce or Death [[3 USCIS-PM D.3\(A\)\(2\)](#)].

[^ 59] See [Matter of Pagnerre \(PDF\)](#), 13 I&N Dec. 688 (BIA 1971). This case involves whether a stepdaughter qualifies as a family-based preference category relative of a U.S. citizen under [INA 203\(a\)\(3\)](#) when the marriage that created the step relationship terminated due to the death of the beneficiary's biological parent. The court found that there was a continuing step relationship in fact between the petitioner and beneficiary after the death of the beneficiary's father and approved the petition for preference classification. For more information, see Chapter 3, Effect of Certain life Events, Section A, Divorce Prior to Filing the Self-Petition, Subsection 2, Termination of a Step-Relationship Due to Divorce or Death [[3 USCIS-PM D.3\(A\)\(2\)](#)].

[^ 60] See [8 CFR 204.2\(e\)\(2\)\(ii\)\(E\)](#).

[^ 61] See [8 CFR 204.2\(e\)\(2\)\(ii\)\(E\)](#).

[^ 62] See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)](#). For more information, see Section B, Qualifying Relationship, Subsection 2, Self-Petitioning Spouse [[3 USCIS-PM D.2\(B\)\(2\)](#)].

[^ 63] See [INA 101\(b\)\(1\)\(E\)](#).

[^ 64] See [INA 101\(b\)\(1\)\(E\)\(i\)](#).

[^ 65] See [INA 101\(b\)\(1\)\(E\)\(i\)](#). See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(A\)\(iv\)](#). See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(B\)\(iii\)](#). There are three different ways for a child to immigrate to the United States based on adoption. See [INA 101\(b\)\(1\)\(E\)](#). See [INA 101\(b\)\(1\)\(F\)](#). See [INA 101\(b\)\(1\)\(G\)](#). See [8 CFR 204.2\(d\)\(2\)\(vii\)](#). See [8 CFR 204.301-8 CFR 204.314](#). The requirements to self-petition as an abused adopted child apply to the family-based process under [INA 101\(b\)\(1\)\(E\)](#), [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(A\)\(iv\)](#), and [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(B\)\(iii\)](#). See Volume 5, Adoptions, Part A, Adoptions Overview, Chapter 4, Adoption Definition and Order Validity [[5 USCIS-PM A.4](#)].

[^ 66] See [INA 101\(b\)\(1\)\(E\)\(i\)](#). See [INA 101\(b\)\(1\)\(F\)](#). See Volume 5, Adoptions, Part E, Family-Based Adoption Petitions [[5 USCIS-PM E](#)].

[^ 67] See [INA 101\(b\)\(1\)\(E\)\(ii\)](#). See Volume 5, Adoptions, Part E, Family-Based Adoption Petitions [[5 USCIS-PM E](#)].

[^ 68] See [8 CFR 204.2\(e\)\(2\)\(ii\)\(F\)](#). Although [8 CFR 204.2\(e\)\(2\)\(ii\)\(F\)](#) requires that self-petitioners submit evidence that they have been residing with and in the legal custody of the abusive adoptive parent for at least 2 years, the Violence Against Women and Department of Justice Reauthorization Act of 2005, [Pub. L. 109-162 \(PDF\)](#), 119 Stat. 2960 (January 5, 2006) removed this as an eligibility requirement and supersedes this part of the regulation.

[^ 69] See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(A\)\(vii\)](#). See [INA 101\(b\)\(2\)](#). USCIS considers the same evidence submitted to establish eligibility for an abused spouse or child under [8 CFR 204.2\(c\)\(2\)](#) and [8 CFR 204.2\(e\)\(2\)](#) as for an abused parent.

[^ 70] See [INA 101\(b\)\(1\)](#).

[^ 71] See [Matter of Hassan \(PDF\)](#), 16 I&N Dec. 16 (BIA 1976).

[^ 72] See [INA 101\(b\)\(1\)](#). See [INA 101\(b\)\(2\)](#). A child is defined as “an unmarried person under 21 years of age” in [INA 101\(b\)\(1\)](#). [INA 101\(b\)\(1\)\(B\)](#) and [INA 101\(b\)\(1\)\(E\)](#), (F), and (G) further define a child to include a stepchild and an adopted child, respectively. Similarly, “parent,” “father,” and “mother” are defined in [INA 101\(b\)\(2\)](#) to include stepparents and certain adoptive parents. An abused parent, stepparent, or adoptive parent of a U.S. citizen is therefore eligible to apply for VAWA relief under [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(A\)\(vii\)](#) provided that the self-petitioner is a “parent” as defined in [INA 101\(b\)\(2\)](#) and has or had a qualifying relationship to the U.S. citizen son or daughter. USCIS considers the same evidence submitted for a Petition for Alien Relative ([Form I-130](#)) for a child or parent as for a self-petitioning parent to establish a qualifying relationship to a U.S. citizen son or daughter. For more information on Form I-130s, see Volume 6, Immigrants, Part B, Family-Based Immigrants [[6 USCIS-PM B](#)].

[^ 73] For more information, see Volume 6, Immigrants, Part B, Family-Based Immigrants, Chapter 8, Children, Sons, and Daughters [[6 USCIS-PM B.8](#)] and Volume 12, Citizenship and Naturalization, Part H, Children of U.S. Citizens, Chapter 3, U.S. Citizens at Birth (INA 301 and 309), Section B, Child Born in Wedlock [[12 USCIS-PM H.3\(B\)](#)].

[^ 74] See [8 CFR 204.2\(e\)\(2\)\(ii\)\(A\)](#). See [8 CFR 204.2\(f\)\(2\)](#). USCIS considers the same evidence submitted to demonstrate a parent-child relationship as described in [8 CFR 204.2\(e\)](#) and [8 CFR 204.2\(f\)\(2\)](#) as for a parental relationship for parents filing a self-petition under [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(A\)\(vii\)](#). Note that officers should always consider any credible evidence submitted by the self-petitioner in accordance with [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(J\)](#).

[^ 75] See [8 CFR 204.2\(f\)\(2\)\(i\)](#).

[^ 76] See [INA 101\(b\)\(1\)\(A\)](#). See [INA 101\(b\)\(1\)\(C\)](#). See [INA 101\(b\)\(1\)\(D\)](#). See [INA 101\(b\)\(2\)](#). See [8 CFR 204.2\(e\)\(2\)\(ii\)](#). See [8 CFR 204.2\(f\)\(2\)](#).

[^ 77] See [8 CFR 204.2\(e\)\(2\)\(ii\)\(B\)](#).

[^ 78] See [8 CFR 204.2\(f\)\(2\)\(i\)](#).

[^ 79] See [INA 101\(b\)\(1\)\(C\)](#). See [8 CFR 204.2\(e\)\(2\)\(ii\)\(C\)](#).

[^ 80] See [INA 101\(b\)\(1\)\(C\)](#). See [8 CFR 204.2\(f\)\(2\)\(ii\)](#).

[^ 81] See [INA 101\(b\)\(1\)\(C\)](#). See [8 CFR 204.2\(f\)\(2\)\(ii\)](#). For more information on legitimization, see Volume 6, Immigrants, Part B, Family-Based Immigrants [[6 USCIS-PM B](#)].

[^ 82] See [8 CFR 204.2\(f\)\(2\)\(iii\)](#).

[^ 83] See [8 CFR 204.2\(f\)\(2\)\(iii\)](#).

[^ 84] See [8 CFR 204.2\(f\)\(2\)\(iii\)](#).

[^ 85] See [8 CFR 204.2\(e\)\(2\)\(ii\)](#). See [8 CFR 204.2\(f\)\(2\)](#). See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(J\)](#). See [8 CFR 204.2\(e\)\(2\)\(i\)](#). For more information on the consideration of evidence, see Chapter 5, Adjudication, Section B, Review of Evidence, Subsection 2, Any Credible Evidence Provision [[3 USCIS-PM D.5\(B\)\(2\)](#)].

[^ 86] See [INA 101\(b\)\(1\)\(B\)](#).

[^ 87] See [Arguijo v. USCIS](#), 991 F.3d 736 (7th Cir. 2021), holding that divorce does not terminate a stepchild relationship for the purposes of eligibility for a VAWA self-petition. For more information, see Chapter 3,

Effect of Certain Life Events, Section A, Divorce Prior to Filing the Self-Petition, Subsection 2, Termination of a Step-Relationship Due to Divorce or Death [[3 USCIS-PM D.3\(A\)\(2\)](#)].

[^ 88] See [Matter of Pagnerre \(PDF\)](#), 13 I&N Dec. 688 (BIA 1971). This case involves whether a stepdaughter qualifies as a family-based preference category relative of a U.S. citizen under [INA 203\(a\)\(3\)](#) when the marriage that created the step relationship terminated due to the death of the beneficiary's biological parent. The court found that there was a continuing step relationship in fact between the petitioner and beneficiary after the death of the beneficiary's father and approved the petition for preference classification. For more information, see Chapter 3, Effect of Certain life Events, Section A, Divorce Prior to Filing the Self-Petition, Subsection 2, Termination of a Step-Relationship Due to Divorce or Death [[3 USCIS-PM D.3\(A\)\(2\)](#)].

[^ 89] See [8 CFR 204.2\(e\)\(2\)\(ii\)\(E\)](#).

[^ 90] See [8 CFR 204.2\(e\)\(2\)\(ii\)\(E\)](#).

[^ 91] To meet the definition of a parent under [INA 101\(b\)\(2\)](#), the parent's child must meet one of the definitions of child under [INA 101\(b\)\(1\)](#). There are three different ways to meet the definition of child based on adoption. See [INA 101\(b\)\(1\)\(E\)](#). See [INA 101\(b\)\(1\)\(F\)](#). See [INA 101\(b\)\(1\)\(G\)](#). See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(A\)\(vii\)](#). See Volume 5, Adoptions, Part A, Adoption Overview [[5 USCIS-PM A](#)].

[^ 92] See Volume 5, Adoptions, Part A, Adoptions Overview, Chapter 4, Adoption Definition and Order Validity [[5 USCIS-PM A.4](#)].

[^ 93] See [INA 101\(b\)\(1\)\(E\)](#). See [INA 101\(b\)\(1\)\(F\)](#). See [INA 101\(b\)\(1\)\(G\)](#).

[^ 94] See [INA 101\(b\)\(1\)\(E\)\(i\)](#). In certain circumstances the adoption may take place prior to the child attaining 18 years old if a sibling exception applies. See Volume 5, Adoptions, Part E, Family-Based Adoption Petitions [[5 USCIS-PM E](#)].

[^ 95] See [INA 101\(b\)\(1\)\(E\)](#).

[^ 96] See [INA 101\(b\)\(1\)\(E\)](#). See [8 CFR 204.2\(f\)\(2\)\(iv\)](#). See Volume 5, Adoptions, Part A, Overview, Chapter 4, Adoption Definition and Order Validity [[5 USCIS-PM A.4](#)]. USCIS considers the same evidence submitted to demonstrate a parent-child relationship under [8 CFR 204.2\(f\)\(2\)](#) as for a parent filing a self-petition. Note that officers should always consider any credible evidence submitted by the self-petitioner in accordance with [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(J\)](#).

[^ 97] To establish an adoptive relationship if the child was adopted through the orphan process under [INA 101\(b\)\(1\)\(F\)](#), see Volume 5, Part C, Child Eligibility Determinations (Orphan) [[5 USCIS-PM C](#)]. To establish an adoptive relationship if the child was adopted through the Hague process under [INA 101\(b\)\(1\)\(G\)](#), see Volume 5, Part D, Child Eligibility Determinations (Hague) [[5 USCIS-PM D](#)].

[^ 98] See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(A\)\(iii\)\(I\)](#). See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(B\)\(ii\)\(I\)](#). See [8 CFR 204.2\(c\)\(1\)\(i\)\(H\)](#). See [8 CFR 204.2\(c\)\(1\)\(ix\)](#).

[^ 99] See [8 CFR 204.2\(c\)\(1\)\(ix\)](#).

[^ 100] See [61 FR 13061, 13068 \(PDF\)](#) (Mar. 26, 1996). See *Bark v. INS*, 511 F.2d 1200 (9th Cir. 1975). The court stated that evidence of separation, standing alone, cannot support a finding that a marriage was not



bona fide when it was entered. The duration of a separation is relevant to, but not dispositive of, an intent to enter a marriage.

[^ 101] See [8 CFR 204.2\(c\)\(2\)\(vii\)](#).

[^ 102] See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(A\)\(iii\)\(II\)\(cc\)](#). See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(A\)\(iv\)](#). See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(A\)\(vii\)](#). See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(B\)\(ii\)\(II\)\(cc\)](#). See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(B\)\(iii\)](#). See [INA 201\(b\)\(2\)\(A\)\(i\)](#). See [INA 203\(a\)\(2\)\(A\)](#). See [8 CFR 204.2\(c\)\(1\)\(i\)\(B\)](#). See [8 CFR 204.2\(e\)\(1\)\(i\)\(B\)](#).

[^ 103] See [8 CFR 204.2\(c\)\(1\)\(iv\)](#). See [8 CFR 204.2\(e\)\(1\)\(iv\)](#). For more information, see Chapter 3, Effect of Certain Life Events, Section C, Marriage-Related Prohibitions on Self-Petition Approval [[3 USCIS-PM D.3\(C\)](#)].

[^ 104] See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(A\)\(iii\)\(I\)\(bb\)](#). See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(A\)\(iv\)](#). See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(A\)\(v\)\(I\)\(cc\)](#). See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(A\)\(vii\)\(V\)](#). See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(B\)\(ii\)\(I\)\(bb\)](#). See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(B\)\(iii\)](#). See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(B\)\(iv\)\(I\)\(cc\)](#). See [8 CFR 204.2\(c\)\(1\)\(i\)\(E\)](#). See [8 CFR 204.2\(e\)\(1\)\(i\)\(E\)](#).

[^ 105] See [INA 101\(b\)\(1\)\(E\)](#).

[^ 106] See [61 FR 13061, 13065 \(PDF\)](#) (Mar. 26, 1996).

[^ 107] See [8 CFR 204.2\(e\)\(1\)\(i\)\(E\)](#).

[^ 108] See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(A\)\(iv\)](#).

[^ 109] See [8 CFR 204.2\(e\)\(1\)\(i\)\(E\)](#).

[^ 110] See Merriam-Webster Dictionary’s law definition of “[battery](#).”

[^ 111] See *Hernandez v. Ashcroft*, 345 F.3d 824, 840 (9th Cir. 2003). “Non-physical actions rise to the level of domestic violence when ‘tactics of control are intertwined with the threat of harm in order to maintain the perpetrator’s dominance through fear...’ Because every insult or unhealthy interaction in a relationship does not rise to the level of domestic violence... Congress required a showing of extreme cruelty in order to ensure that [the VAWA suspension statute] protected against the extreme concept of domestic violence, rather than mere unkindness” (internal citations omitted).

[^ 112] See [8 CFR 204.2\(c\)\(1\)\(vi\)](#). See [8 CFR 204.2\(e\)\(1\)\(vi\)](#).

[^ 113] See [8 CFR 204.2\(c\)\(2\)\(iv\)](#). See [8 CFR 204.2\(e\)\(2\)\(iv\)](#).

[^ 114] See [8 CFR 204.2\(c\)\(2\)\(iv\)](#). See [8 CFR 204.2\(e\)\(2\)\(iv\)](#).

[^ 115] See [8 CFR 204.2\(c\)\(2\)\(iv\)](#). See [8 CFR 204.2\(e\)\(2\)\(iv\)](#).

[^ 116] See [8 CFR 204.2\(c\)\(2\)\(iv\)](#). See [8 CFR 204.2\(e\)\(2\)\(iv\)](#).

[^ 117] See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(A\)\(iii\)\(II\)\(dd\)](#). See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(A\)\(iv\)](#). See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(A\)\(vii\)\(IV\)](#). See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(B\)\(ii\)\(II\)\(dd\)](#). See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(B\)\(iii\)](#). See [8 CFR 204.2\(c\)\(1\)\(i\)\(D\)](#). See [8 CFR 204.2\(e\)\(1\)\(i\)\(D\)](#). USCIS implemented this new interpretation on February 10, 2022 given that several federal courts have recently held that the statutory language does not require shared residence during the qualifying relationship. See *Dartora v. U.S.*, No. 4:20-CV-05161-SMJ (E.D.W.A. June 7, 2021). See *Bait It v. McAleenan*, 410 F. Supp. 3d 874 (N.D. Ill. 2019). See *Hollingsworth v. Zuchowski*, 437 F. Supp. 3d 1231 (S.D. Fla. 2020).

[^ 118] See [INA 101\(a\)\(33\)](#).

[^ 119] See [61 FR 13061, 13065 \(PDF\)](#) (Mar. 26, 1996).

[^ 120] Although [8 CFR 204.2\(c\)\(1\)\(v\)](#) states that “[a] self-petition will not be approved if the self-petitioner is not residing in the United States,” this portion of the regulation has been superseded by the Victims of Trafficking and Violence Protection Act of 2000, [Pub. L. 106-386 \(PDF\)](#), 114 Stat. 1464 (October 28, 2000), which removed the requirement for the self-petitioner to reside in the United States.

[^ 121] See [8 CFR 204.2\(c\)\(1\)\(v\)](#). See [8 CFR 204.2\(e\)\(1\)\(v\)](#).

[^ 122] See [8 CFR 204.2\(e\)\(1\)\(i\)\(E\)](#).

[^ 123] See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(A\)\(iv\)](#).

[^ 124] For more information on filing a VAWA self-petition from outside the United States, see Section H, Self-Petitioners Filing from Outside the United States [[3 USCIS-PM D.2\(H\)](#)].

[^ 125] See [8 CFR 204.2\(c\)\(2\)\(iii\)](#). See [8 CFR 204.2\(e\)\(2\)\(iii\)](#).

[^ 126] See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(A\)\(iii\)\(II\)\(bb\)](#). See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(A\)\(iv\)](#). See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(A\)\(vii\)\(II\)](#). See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(B\)\(ii\)\(II\)\(bb\)](#). See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(B\)\(iii\)](#). See [8 CFR 204.2\(c\)\(1\)\(i\)\(F\)](#). See [8 CFR 204.2\(e\)\(1\)\(i\)\(F\)](#).

[^ 127] See [8 CFR 204.2\(c\)\(1\)\(vii\)](#). See [8 CFR 204.2\(e\)\(1\)\(vii\)](#). See [8 CFR 316.10\(a\)\(2\)](#).

[^ 128] See [8 CFR 204.2\(e\)\(2\)\(v\)](#). Affirmative evidence of good moral character is required for all self-petitioning children age 14 or older.

[^ 129] See [61 FR 13061, 13066 \(PDF\)](#) (Mar. 26, 1996).

[^ 130] See [61 FR 13061, 13066 \(PDF\)](#) (Mar. 26, 1996). The regulation provides that a self-petition filed by a person of any age may be denied or revoked if the evidence establishes that the person lacks good moral character.

[^ 131] See [8 CFR 204.2\(c\)\(1\)\(vii\)](#). See [8 CFR 204.2\(e\)\(1\)\(vii\)](#). See [8 CFR 316.10\(a\)\(2\)](#).

[^ 132] See [61 FR 13061, 13066 \(PDF\)](#) (Mar. 26, 1996). The statute requires all self-petitioners to be persons of good moral character but does not specify the period for which good moral character must be established. The regulations require evidence of good moral character for the 3 years immediately preceding the date the self-petition is filed.

[^ 133] See [61 FR 13061, 13066 \(PDF\)](#) (Mar. 26, 1996). USCIS is not precluded from choosing to examine the self-petitioner’s conduct and acts prior to the 3-year period if there is reason to believe that the self-petitioner may not have been a person of good moral character in the past. See [Matter of P-- \(PDF\)](#), 8 I&N Dec. 167 (R.C. 1958). See *DeLucia v. INS*, 370 F.2d 305 (7th Cir. 1966). See [Matter of Sanchez-Linn \(PDF\)](#), 20 I&N Dec. 362 (BIA 1991). The more serious the past misconduct, the longer the period of good conduct must be to meet the burden of establishing good moral character.

[^ 134] See [8 CFR 204.2\(c\)\(1\)\(vii\)](#). See [8 CFR 204.2\(e\)\(1\)\(vii\)](#).

[^ 135] See [8 CFR 204.2\(c\)\(1\)\(vii\)](#). See [8 CFR 204.2\(e\)\(1\)\(vii\)](#). The self-petitioner may appeal the decision to revoke the approval within 15 days after service of notice of the revocation. See [8 CFR 205.2\(d\)](#). For more



information, see Chapter 6, Post-Adjudicative Matters, Section A, Revocations [[3 USCIS-PM D.6\(A\)](#)].

[[^ 136](#)] See [INA 101\(f\)\(8\)-\(9\)](#).

[[^ 137](#)] See [INA 101\(f\)\(8\)-\(9\)](#).

[[^ 138](#)] See [INA 101\(f\)\(1\)-\(7\)](#). See [61 FR 13061, 13066 \(PDF\)](#) (Mar. 26, 1996).

[[^ 139](#)] See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(C\)](#). Note that USCIS applies [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(C\)](#) to all self-petitioners, including those filing under [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(A\)\(v\)](#), [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(A\)\(vii\)](#), and [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(B\)\(iv\)](#), despite the fact that these self-petitioners are not specifically referenced in [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(C\)](#).

[[^ 140](#)] See [INA 101\(f\)](#).

[[^ 141](#)] See [61 FR 13061, 13066 \(PDF\)](#) (Mar. 26, 1996) (*citing to Pablo v. INS*, 72 F.3d 110, 113 (9th Cir. 1995) and *Gouveia v. INS*, 980 F.2d 814, 817 (1st Cir. 1992)).

[[^ 142](#)] See [8 CFR 204.2\(c\)\(1\)\(vii\)](#). See [8 CFR 204.2\(e\)\(1\)\(vii\)](#).

[[^ 143](#)] See [8 CFR 204.2\(c\)\(1\)\(vii\)](#). See [8 CFR 204.2\(e\)\(1\)\(vii\)](#).

[[^ 144](#)] For example, persons who admitted to having engaged in prostitution under duress but had no prostitution convictions were not excludable as prostitutes under [INA 212\(a\)\(2\)\(D\)](#), because they were involuntarily reduced to such a state of mind that they were actually prevented from exercising free will through the use of wrongful, oppressive threats or unlawful means. See *Matter of M-*, 7 I&N Dec. 251 (BIA 1956). See [61 FR 13061, 13066 \(PDF\)](#) (Mar. 26, 1996).

[[^ 145](#)] See [INA 101\(f\)](#). “The fact that any person is not within the foregoing classes shall not preclude a finding that for other reasons such person is or was not of good moral character.” See [8 CFR 204.2\(c\)\(1\)\(vii\)](#). See [8 CFR 204.2\(e\)\(1\)\(vii\)](#).

[[^ 146](#)] See [8 CFR 204.2\(c\)\(2\)\(v\)](#). See [8 CFR 204.2\(e\)\(2\)\(v\)](#).

[[^ 147](#)] See [8 CFR 204.2\(c\)\(2\)\(v\)](#). See [8 CFR 204.2\(e\)\(2\)\(v\)](#).

[[^ 148](#)] See [8 CFR 204.2\(c\)\(2\)\(v\)](#). See [8 CFR 204.2\(e\)\(2\)\(v\)](#). For more information, see the Department of State’s [Foreign Affairs Manual](#) (FAM) for information on the availability of foreign clearances by country.

[[^ 149](#)] See [8 CFR 204.2\(c\)\(2\)\(v\)](#). See [8 CFR 204.2\(e\)\(2\)\(v\)](#).

[[^ 150](#)] See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(C\)](#).

[[^ 151](#)] Relevant waivers include those under [INA 212\(h\)\(1\)](#), [INA 212\(i\)\(1\)](#), [INA 237\(a\)\(7\)](#), and [INA 237\(a\)\(1\)\(H\)\(ii\)](#).

[[^ 152](#)] See Appendix: Statutory Bars to Establishing Good Moral Character – Waivable Conduct [[3 USCIS-PM D.2, Appendices Tab](#)], which includes a quick-reference chart indicating which disqualifying acts and convictions under [INA 101\(f\)](#) have a waiver available.

[[^ 153](#)] See *Da Silva v. Attorney General* ([PDF](#)), 948 F.3d 629 (3rd Cir. 2020). The court held that “connected to” as it is used in [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(C\)](#) means “having a causal or logical relationship.”

[^ 154] See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(A\)\(v\)](#). See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(B\)\(iv\)](#). There is no statutory requirement that a self-petitioning parent be living in the United States at the time the self-petition is filed. The filing requirements at [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(A\)\(v\)](#) relating to a self-petitioning spouse, intended spouse, or child living abroad of a U.S. citizen are applicable to self-petitions filed by an abused parent of a U.S. citizen son or daughter.

[^ 155] See [8 CFR 204.2\(c\)\(3\)\(i\)](#). See [8 CFR 204.2\(e\)\(3\)\(i\)](#).

[^ 156] See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(A\)\(iii\)\(I\)](#). See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(A\)\(iv\)](#). See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(B\)\(ii\)\(I\)](#). See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(B\)\(iii\)](#). See [8 CFR 204.2\(c\)\(4\)](#). [8 CFR 204.2\(e\)\(4\)](#) has been superseded by the Victims of Trafficking and Violence Protection Act of 2000, [Pub. L. 106-386 \(PDF\)](#), 114 Stat. 1464 (October 28, 2000), which amended the INA to allow children of child self-petitioners to be classified as derivative beneficiaries under [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(A\)\(iv\)](#) and [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(B\)\(iii\)](#).

[^ 157] See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(A\)\(iii\)\(I\)](#). See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(A\)\(iv\)](#). See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(B\)\(ii\)\(I\)](#). See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(B\)\(iii\)](#). See [8 CFR 204.2\(c\)\(4\)](#).

[^ 158] See [INA 101\(b\)\(1\)](#).

[^ 159] See [61 FR 13061, 13068 \(PDF\)](#) (Mar. 26, 1996).

[^ 160] See [8 CFR 204.2\(c\)\(4\)](#).

[^ 161] See [INA 203\(d\)](#). See [8 CFR 204.2\(c\)\(4\)](#).

[^ 162] See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(D\)\(i\)\(III\)](#). For more information, see Chapter 3, Effect of Certain life Events, Section G, Child Turning 21 Years Old, Subsection 2, Self-Petitioning Child or Derivative Turns 21 Years Old After the Self-Petition is Filed [[3 USCIS-PM D.3\(G\)\(2\)](#)].

[^ 163] See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(D\)\(i\)\(III\)](#).

[^ 164] See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(D\)\(i\)\(III\)](#).



U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

[Home](#) > [Policy Manual](#)

## Chapter 3 - Effect of Certain Life Events

### Guidance

[Resources](#) (8).

[Appendices](#) (0).

[Updates](#) (2).

[History](#) (0).

---

## A. Divorce Prior to Filing the Self-Petition

### 1. Self-Petitioning Spouse's Divorce

Generally, a self-petitioning spouse of an abusive U.S. citizen or lawful permanent resident (LPR) must show the existence of a qualifying relationship at the time of filing.<sup>[1]</sup> If the qualifying marriage was legally terminated<sup>[2]</sup> prior to filing the self-petition, however, self-petitioning spouses may continue to be eligible if they are otherwise eligible for a self-petition and:

- File a Petition for Amerasian, Widow(er), or Special Immigrant ([Form I-360](#)) within the 2-year period immediately following the termination of the marriage; and
- Can demonstrate that the legal termination of the marriage was connected to the battery or extreme cruelty perpetrated by the U.S. citizen or LPR spouse.<sup>[3]</sup>

The requirement that a self-petitioner file within 2 years following the termination of the marriage is a condition of eligibility for which there is no waiver or equitable tolling available. The 2-year period cannot be equitably tolled because the statute allows for self-petitioning during the marriage and creates a cut-off date for filing when the marriage has terminated.

#### *Evidence*

Self-petitions filed within 2 years of the legal termination of the marriage must include evidence that the marriage was legally terminated, such as a final divorce decree or annulment, and that the termination was connected to the battery or extreme cruelty.<sup>[4]</sup> The specific legal ground for a divorce or annulment does not need to be abuse.

Examples of evidence demonstrating the connection between the legal termination of the marriage and the battery or extreme cruelty may include, but are not limited to, the following:

- The self-petitioner's own affidavit;
- Affidavits from third parties;
- Final divorce decrees or annulments; or
- Any other credible evidence.

## 2. Termination of a Step-Relationship Due to Divorce or Death

### *Divorce*

If the marriage between a parent and a stepparent terminates due to divorce, a self-petitioning stepchild and a self-petitioning stepparent continue to be eligible for the self-petition.<sup>[5]</sup> A stepchild of an abusive U.S. citizen or LPR parent and a stepparent of an abusive U.S. citizen son or daughter may continue to be eligible to self-petition despite the divorce provided that:

- The stepchild had not reached 18 years of age at the time the marriage creating the step relationship occurred;<sup>[6]</sup> and
- The step relationship existed, by law, at the time of the abuse.

### *Death*

If the marriage between a parent and stepparent terminates due to the death of the biological or legal parent, a self-petitioning stepchild and a self-petitioning stepparent may continue to establish eligibility for the self-petition if they can provide evidence of an ongoing family relationship with the abusive U.S. citizen or LPR stepparent or stepchild, respectively, at the time of filing.<sup>[7]</sup>

The relationship need not continue after filing.<sup>[8]</sup> The stepchild or stepparent may continue to be eligible to self-petition despite the termination of the marriage due to the death of the biological or legal parent provided that:

- The stepchild had not reached 18 years of age at the time the marriage creating the step relationship occurred;<sup>[9]</sup>
- The step relationship existed, by law, at the time of the abuse; and
- The step relationship existed as a matter of fact at the time the self-petition is filed.

Evidence of an ongoing family relationship may include financial and emotional support and any type of communication between the stepchild and stepparent, such as an email, social media post, or any other evidence of contact between them.

## B. Self-Petitioner's Marriage or Remarriage

## 1. Self-Petitioning Child's Marriage

Self-petitioning children must be unmarried when the self-petition is filed and when the self-petition is approved.<sup>[10]</sup> A self-petitioning child who marries after filing the self-petition and remains married while the self-petition is pending is no longer eligible for immigrant classification as a child, as there are no VAWA provisions for married sons and daughters.<sup>[11]</sup> However, a self-petitioning child who marries after filing the self-petition but whose marriage terminates prior to a final decision on the self-petition may remain eligible under VAWA.<sup>[12]</sup>

## 2. Self-Petitioning Spouse's Remarriage

Self-petitioning spouses may remarry after the self-petition is approved without impacting the approved self-petition or their eligibility for an immigrant visa or adjustment of status. However, if the self-petitioner marries again before approval of the self-petition, the officer must deny the self-petition.<sup>[13]</sup>

### Remarriage of Self-Petitioning Spouse

When the Remarriage Occurs	Impact on Self-Petition
Before approval of the self-petition	USCIS denies the pending self-petition because of the remarriage. <sup>[14]</sup> If the remarriage is not discovered until after USCIS approves the self-petition, USCIS revokes the approval. <sup>[15]</sup>
After approval of the self-petition	Remarriage does not affect eligibility. <sup>[16]</sup>

## C. Marriage-Related Prohibitions on Self-Petition Approval

### 1. Self-Petitioning Spouses – Marriage While in Removal Proceedings

When USCIS adjudicates a spousal self-petition, there are additional considerations on top of the requirements for a qualifying relationship and a good faith marriage. There is, for example, a prohibition on approving a self-petition if the marriage creating the qualifying relationship occurred while the self-petitioner was in removal proceedings.<sup>[17]</sup>

The self-petitioner may overcome the general prohibition by requesting an exemption in writing with Form I-360 and submitting evidence demonstrating the following:<sup>[18]</sup>

- The self-petitioner has resided outside the United States for a 2-year period beginning after the date of the marriage;<sup>[19]</sup> or

- The self-petitioner provides clear and convincing evidence that the marriage was entered into in good faith and in accordance with the laws of the place where the marriage took place, the marriage was not entered into to circumvent immigration laws, and no fee or other consideration was given for the filing of the self-petition. [\[20\]](#)

If USCIS denied a prior filing because the marriage took place during removal proceedings, and the self-petitioner then resided outside the United States for a period of 2 years following the marriage, the self-petitioner may file a new petition after the 2-year period. In addition, a denial does not prevent USCIS from considering a new petition or a motion to reopen if removal proceedings are terminated after the denial for any reason except the self-petitioner's departure from the United States. [\[21\]](#)

Although self-petitioners may submit similar evidence to establish a good faith marriage or to qualify for the good faith marriage exemption while in removal proceedings, they must meet a heightened standard of proof when seeking a good faith marriage exemption.

Generally, self-petitioners must establish that they entered into the marriage in good faith by a preponderance of the evidence. [\[22\]](#) To be eligible for a good faith marriage exemption while in removal proceedings, however, a self-petitioner must establish good faith entry into the marriage by the more stringent clear and convincing evidence standard. [\[23\]](#) The heightened standard applies only to the good faith marriage exemption determination; all other eligibility requirements are reviewed under the preponderance of the evidence standard.

The requirement that no fee or other consideration was given for the filing of the petition does not refer to fees paid to attorneys, notarios, or other persons who assisted with filing the self-petition. [\[24\]](#) Rather, this refers to instances where a fee or other consideration was paid in connection with a fraudulent marriage. If a fee or other consideration was paid in order to enter into a fraudulent marriage or to obtain an immigration benefit through a fraudulent marriage, the self-petitioner is ineligible for the good faith marriage exemption.

If the self-petitioner seeks a good faith marriage exemption by showing that the marriage was entered into in good faith and not for the purpose of circumventing immigration laws, examples of the types of evidence the self-petitioner may submit include, but are not limited to:

- Documentation showing joint ownership of property;
- A lease showing joint tenancy of a common residence;
- Documentation showing commingling of financial resources;
- Birth certificate of any children born to the self-petitioner and abusive spouse;
- Affidavits from third parties who know about the bona fides of the marital relationship; [\[25\]](#) or
- Any other credible evidence to establish that the marriage was not entered into in order to evade the immigration laws of the United States.

## 2. Prior Marriage Fraud

Self-petitioning spouses are required to demonstrate a qualifying spousal relationship and that their marriage was entered into in good faith. [\[26\]](#) Even if the self-petitioner meets these two eligibility



requirements, USCIS cannot approve the self-petition where it determines with substantial and probative evidence that the self-petitioner previously:

- Had been granted or has sought to be accorded an immediate relative or family-based preference status as the spouse of a U.S. citizen or LPR based on a marriage that USCIS has determined the self-petitioner entered into for the purpose of evading immigration laws; or
- Attempted or conspired to enter into a marriage for the purpose of evading immigration laws.<sup>[27]</sup>

Where USCIS determines there is substantial and probative evidence that the self-petitioner previously engaged in marriage fraud, the burden shifts to the self-petitioner to overcome the finding.<sup>[28]</sup> Officers may not rely solely on a prior finding of marriage fraud but must make a separate and independent determination that the self-petitioner previously engaged in marriage fraud.<sup>[29]</sup>

USCIS provides self-petitioners with an opportunity to rebut the evidence that they entered into or conspired to enter into a prior marriage for the purpose of evading immigration laws by issuing an Request for Evidence (RFE) or a Notice of Intent to Deny (NOID).

## D. Death of the U.S. Citizen, Lawful Permanent Resident, or Self-Petitioner

Self-petitioners must demonstrate a qualifying relationship with the abusive U.S. citizen or LPR relative to be eligible for the self-petition.<sup>[30]</sup> Historically, if a petitioner for a family-based immigrant visa petition died while the petition was pending or after it was approved and the beneficiary had not yet become an LPR, USCIS denied the petition if it was pending or revoked the petition if it was approved.<sup>[31]</sup>

Over time, however, Congress recognized the inequities this created for some noncitizens in these situations and created provisions to allow surviving beneficiaries to continue the immigration process despite the death of certain petitioning relatives and principal beneficiaries.<sup>[32]</sup>

For self-petitioners and their derivatives, the impact of the U.S. citizen, LPR, or self-petitioner's death on the validity of the self-petition depends on who died, who the surviving relative is, and whether the self-petition was filed at the time of the death.

Self-petitioners and derivative beneficiaries must notify USCIS of the death of the qualifying relative or the self-petitioner and submit evidence of the death, such as a death certificate.

### 1. Abusive U.S. Citizen's Death

#### *Abusive U.S. Citizen Dies Prior to the Filing of the Self-Petition*

Self-petitioning spouses or parents whose abusive U.S. citizen relative died before they filed a self-petition continue to remain eligible to file a self-petition for 2 years after the death.<sup>[33]</sup> The requirement that a self-petitioner file within 2 years following the death of the U.S. citizen relative is a condition of eligibility for which there is no waiver or equitable tolling available. The 2-year period cannot be equitably tolled because the statute allows for self-petitioning while the qualifying relative is living and creates a cut-off date for filing when the relative has died.



Note that for abused parents to be eligible to self-petition, the U.S. citizen son or daughter must have been at least 21 years old when the son or daughter died. If a self-petitioning child's U.S. citizen parent dies before the child files a self-petition, however, the child is ineligible for VAWA benefits. [\[34\]](#)

#### *Abusive U.S. Citizen Relative Dies While the Self-Petition is Pending or Approved*

If a self-petitioning spouse, child, or parent had a pending or approved self-petition at the time of the U.S. citizen's death, the death does not impact their eligibility for the pending self-petition or require revocation of an approved self-petition. [\[35\]](#) The self-petitioner remains eligible to apply for an immigrant visa or adjustment of status after the self-petition is approved. [\[36\]](#)

The table below provides a summary of the impact that the death of the abusive U.S. citizen relative has on a self-petition based on the type of self-petition that is filed and if the self-petition was filed at the time of the U.S. citizen's death.

**Impact of the U.S. Citizen Relative's Death on the Self-Petition**

Self-Petitioner	Petition Not Filed	Petition Pending	Petition Approved
Spouse	Remains eligible to file self-petition up to 2 years after U.S. citizen spouse's death	Remains eligible for self-petition	Self-petition remains approved and self-petitioner remains eligible for immigrant visa or adjustment of status
Child	Not eligible for self-petition	Remains eligible for self-petition	Self-petition remains approved and self-petitioner remains eligible for immigrant visa or adjustment of status
Parent	Remains eligible to file self-petition up to 2 years following U.S. citizen son or daughter's death	Remains eligible for self-petition	Self-petition remains approved and self-petitioner remains eligible for immigrant visa or adjustment of status

## 2. Abusive Lawful Permanent Resident's Death

If the LPR relative dies before an abused spouse or child files a self-petition, the self-petitioning spouse or child is ineligible for VAWA benefits. If the abusive LPR relative dies while a self-petition is pending or was previously approved, USCIS may approve the self-petition or continue adjudication for an adjustment of status application based on an approved self-petition in certain circumstances under [INA 204\(I\)](#) as a matter of discretion. [\[37\]](#) In order to remain eligible for the self-petition under [INA 204\(I\)](#), self-petitioners must demonstrate:

- They resided in the United States when the LPR relative died; and

- They continue to reside in the United States on the date the pending self-petition or adjustment of status application is approved. [\[38\]](#)

When there are derivative children beneficiaries, if the self-petitioner or any one derivative beneficiary meets the residence requirement, then, as a matter of discretion, USCIS may approve the self-petition or application for adjustment of status. The self-petitioner and all beneficiaries may be eligible to immigrate to the same extent that would have been permitted if the LPR relative had not died. It is not necessary for the self-petitioner and each derivative child to meet the residence requirements.

### 3. Self-Petitioner's Death

If a self-petitioning spouse or self-petitioning child dies while the self-petition is pending or after it is approved, USCIS may approve the self-petition or continue adjudication for an adjustment of status application based on an approved self-petition for any derivative children of the self-petitioner as a matter of discretion under [INA 204\(l\)](#). Derivative beneficiaries do not have to be included on the self-petition to be considered for relief under [INA 204\(l\)](#) as long as they are eligible as derivative beneficiaries.

## E. Loss or Renunciation of U.S. Citizenship or Loss of Lawful Permanent Resident Status

A self-petitioner must demonstrate a qualifying relationship to a U.S. citizen or LPR at the time of filing to be eligible for a self-petition. [\[39\]](#) Therefore, historically, if abusive U.S. citizen or LPR relatives had lost or renounced their U.S. citizenship or LPR status, self-petitioners were no longer eligible for the self-petition.

Congress recognized, however, that an abuser's loss of U.S. citizenship or LPR status may have been related to an incident of domestic violence, and that the loss would impact a self-petitioner's eligibility for VAWA benefits. So when Congress passed the Battered Immigrant Women Protection Act (BIWPA) in 2000, it amended the immigration laws to preserve self-petitioning eligibility in certain cases where abusers lost their U.S. citizenship or LPR status for a reason related to an incident of domestic violence, as long as the self-petition is filed within 2 years of the loss or renunciation. [\[40\]](#)

BIWPA also provided that if abusive U.S. citizens or LPRs lost their status after the self-petition was filed, then self-petitioners would retain their eligibility despite the loss of status without having to show a connection between the loss of U.S. citizenship or LPR status and an incident of domestic violence. [\[41\]](#)

Self-petitioners must notify USCIS if their qualifying relative lost or renounced U.S. citizenship or LPR status. Officers may check USCIS electronic systems to confirm the loss or renunciation of citizenship or LPR status.

## 1. Loss or Renunciation of U.S. Citizenship or Loss of Lawful Permanent Resident Status Prior to Filing

If abusive U.S. citizen or LPR relatives lost or renounced their U.S. citizenship or LPR status before the self-petition was filed, the self-petitioner may remain eligible if the loss or renunciation of status was related or due to an incident of domestic violence. The loss or renunciation must also have occurred within the 2-year period immediately preceding the filing of the self-petition. [\[42\]](#)

The requirement that a self-petitioner file within 2 years following the qualifying relative's loss or renunciation of U.S. citizenship or LPR status is a condition of eligibility for which there is no waiver or equitable tolling available. The 2-year period cannot be equitably tolled because the statute allows for self-petitioning while the qualifying relative maintains U.S. citizenship or LPR status and creates a cut-off date for filing when the qualifying relative has lost U.S. citizenship or LPR status.

Note that for self-petitioning parents, the abusive son or daughter must have been 21 years of age or older when the son or daughter's citizenship was lost or renounced.

USCIS considers the full history of domestic violence when determining whether the abuser's loss or renunciation of status is related to an incident of domestic violence. When considering whether the loss or renunciation of status was related to an incident of domestic violence, USCIS determines whether the evidence submitted establishes:

- The circumstances surrounding the loss or renunciation of status;
- Whether the loss or renunciation of status is related to the incident of domestic violence; and
- The loss or renunciation of status occurred within the 2-year period immediately preceding the filing of the self-petition.

Examples of evidence demonstrating the above requirements may include but are not limited to:

- Self-affidavits;
- Police, child protective services, and other related reports;
- Court records;
- Immigration records; and
- Any other credible evidence of the above requirements.

## 2. Loss or Renunciation of U.S. Citizenship or Loss of Lawful Permanent Resident Status After Filing

The loss or renunciation of the qualifying relative's U.S. citizenship or LPR status after the self-petition is filed does not impact a self-petitioning spouse or child's eligibility or adversely affect an approved self-petition. There is no requirement to show a relation between the loss or renunciation of U.S. citizenship or LPR status and an incident of battery or extreme cruelty. The self-petitioner remains eligible for VAWA benefits.<sup>[43]</sup> In addition, loss or renunciation of the qualifying relative's U.S. citizenship or LPR status does not adversely affect an approved VAWA self-petitioner's ability to adjust status.<sup>[44]</sup>

Self-petitioning parents, however, whose U.S. citizen sons or daughters have denaturalized or lost or renounced their U.S. citizenship after the self-petition is filed are no longer eligible for the self-petition.<sup>[45]</sup> If a self-petitioning parent's self-petition was previously approved, it may be revoked in such circumstances.

## F. Lawful Permanent Resident's Naturalization

If abusive LPRs naturalize after their spouse or child files a self-petition, the self-petitioning spouse or child is automatically reclassified as the spouse or child of a U.S. citizen.<sup>[46]</sup> The self-petitioner does not

need to file a new self-petition; the reclassification occurs regardless of whether the self-petition remains pending or is approved at the time of the naturalization.<sup>[47]</sup> The self-petitioner is reclassified even if the abusive spouse or parent acquires citizenship after a divorce or termination of parental rights.<sup>[48]</sup>

## G. Child Turning 21 Years Old

Generally, self-petitioning and derivative children must be under 21 years old and unmarried in order to be eligible as self-petitioners or be included as derivative beneficiaries on the self-petition at the time of filing.<sup>[49]</sup> If abused children turn 21 years old before they are able to file a self-petition, however, they may continue to remain eligible to file the self-petition as a child in certain circumstances as long as they remain unmarried.<sup>[50]</sup>

### 1. Self-Petitioning Child Turning 21 Years Old Before Filing the Self-Petition

In the past, otherwise eligible sons and daughters of U.S. citizens and LPRs were precluded from filing a self-petition if they reached age 21 before the self-petition could be filed. The inability to file a self-petition before turning 21 years old may have been due to a number of reasons, including the nature of the abuse or the time period that the abuse took place.

The Violence Against Women and Department of Justice Reauthorization Act of 2005 (VAWA 2005), however, amended the Immigration and Nationality Act (INA) by adding a new provision that permitted the late filing of a self-petition in certain circumstances to expand protections for abused children who were unable to file a self-petition before turning 21 years old.<sup>[51]</sup>

Self-petitioning children may remain eligible to file a self-petition as a child even after turning 21 years old but before turning 25 years old if they are unmarried and can demonstrate the following:

- They were eligible to file the self-petition on the day before they turned 21; and
- The abuse was one central reason for the delay in filing.<sup>[52]</sup>

Self-petitioners must have been qualified to file the self-petition on the day before they turned 21 years old. This means that they must have met all eligibility requirements on that date. For example, if the abuse took place only after they turned 21, then they were not eligible to file the self-petition on the day before they turned 21 years old.

In addition to meeting all of the eligibility requirements as of the day before the self-petitioner turned 21 years old, the abuse must have been “one central reason” for the self-petitioner’s delay in filing.<sup>[53]</sup> The battery or extreme cruelty is not required to be the sole reason for the delay in filing, but the connection between the battery or extreme cruelty and the delay in filing must be more than tangential.

An example where a self-petitioner could potentially meet this requirement may be that the abuse took place so near in time to the self-petitioner turning 21 years old that there was insufficient time to file the self-petition. Another example is that the abuse was so traumatic that the self-petitioner was mentally or physically incapable of filing a self-petition prior to turning 21 years old. These are only hypothetical examples; the abuse must be identifiable as one central reason for the delay.

If self-petitioners are eligible to file after turning 21 years old, USCIS treats them as if the self-petition had been filed on the day before they turned 21 years old. If USCIS approves the self-petition, however, the self-petitioner's continued eligibility and subsequent classification for visa issuance or adjustment of status is governed by the Child Status Protection Act (CSPA) or the Victims of Trafficking and Violence Protection Act of 2000 (VTVPA), whichever is appropriate. [\[54\]](#)

### *Evidence*

Self-petitioners must submit evidence that they were eligible to file the self-petition before they turned 21 years old and that the abuse was one central reason for the delay. USCIS considers the totality of the circumstances leading to the delay in filing and the full history of battery or extreme cruelty in the case.

Examples of evidence that may demonstrate that the self-petitioner's abuse was one central reason for the delay may include but are not limited to:

- Reports or affidavits detailing incidents of abuse that occurred prior to the self-petitioner turning 21 years old from police, judges, court officials, medical personnel, counselors, social workers or other social service agency personnel, or school officials;
- Evidence that the self-petitioner sought refuge at a shelter because of the abuse;
- A personal statement describing the battery or extreme cruelty that occurred prior to the self-petitioner turning 21 years old;
- An explanation for how the abuse was one central reason for the delay in filing the self-petition; or
- Any other credible evidence.

## 2. Self-Petitioning Child or Derivative Turns 21 Years Old After the Self-Petition is Filed

Under CSPA, if a child turns 21 years old after the self-petition is filed but before it is adjudicated, the INA includes protections for self-petitioning and derivative children to retain eligibility after turning 21 years old as long as they remain unmarried. [\[55\]](#) Self-petitioning and derivative children may continue to be classified as children for immigration purposes under the CSPA in certain circumstances. [\[56\]](#)

If children are not eligible under CSPA, they may be eligible under VTVPA, which provides that self-petitioning children who turn 21 years old after the self-petition is filed will automatically be considered self-petitioners for preference status under [INA 203](#) as long as they remain unmarried. [\[57\]](#)

Derivative children who turn 21 years old after the self-petition is filed will automatically be considered a self-petitioner with the same priority date as the self-petitioner who originally filed the self-petition, as long as the child remains unmarried. [\[58\]](#)

No new petition is required for either a self-petitioning or derivative child. [\[59\]](#) Self-petitioning or derivative children may marry after the self-petition is approved and remain eligible for an immigrant visa or adjustment of status in the appropriate preference category to their situation. [\[60\]](#) They do not need to file a new self-petition and will retain the priority date from the approved self-petition.



# Footnotes

---

[^1] See [8 CFR 204.2\(c\)\(1\)\(i\)](#). See Chapter 2, Eligibility Requirements and Evidence, Section B, Qualifying Relationship, Subsection 2, Self-Petitioning Spouse [[3 USCIS-PM D.2\(B\)\(2\)](#)].

[^2] USCIS generally recognizes the legal termination of a marriage in cases where the termination is valid under the laws of the jurisdiction where the marriage is terminated, or the jurisdiction of a subsequent marriage recognizes the validity of the termination.

[^3] See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(A\)\(iii\)\(ii\)\(aa\)\(CC\)\(ccc\)](#). See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(B\)\(ii\)\(II\)\(aa\)\(CC\)\(bbb\)](#).

[^4] See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(A\)\(iii\)\(ii\)\(aa\)\(CC\)\(ccc\)](#). See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(B\)\(ii\)\(II\)\(aa\)\(CC\)\(bbb\)](#).

[^5] See [Arguijo v. USCIS](#), 991 F.3d 736 (7th Cir. 2021), holding that divorce does not terminate a stepchild relationship for the purposes of eligibility for a VAWA self-petition.

[^6] See [INA 101\(b\)\(1\)\(B\)](#).

[^7] See [Matter of Pagnerre \(PDF\)](#), 13 I&N Dec. 688 (BIA 1971). This case involves whether a stepdaughter qualifies as a family-based preference category relative of a U.S. citizen under [INA 203\(a\)\(3\)](#) when the marriage that created the step relationship terminated due to the death of the beneficiary's biological parent. The court found that there was a continuing step relationship in fact between the petitioner and beneficiary after the death of the beneficiary's father and approved the petition for preference classification.

[^8] See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(A\)\(vi\)](#). See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(B\)\(v\)](#).

[^9] See [INA 101\(b\)\(1\)\(B\)](#).

[^10] See [INA 101\(b\)\(1\)](#). See [8 CFR 204.2\(e\)\(1\)\(ii\)](#).

[^11] See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(A\)\(iv\)](#). See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(B\)\(iii\)](#), requiring eligibility for immigrant classification under [INA 201\(b\)\(2\)\(A\)\(i\)](#) and [INA 203\(a\)\(2\)\(A\)](#).

[^12] See [INA 201\(f\)](#). See [8 CFR 204.2\(e\)\(1\)\(ii\)](#).

[^13] See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(A\)\(II\)\(aa\)](#). See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(B\)\(II\)\(aa\)](#). See [8 CFR 204.2\(c\)\(1\)\(ii\)](#). See *Delmas v. Gonzalez*, 422 F.Supp.2d 1299 (S.D. Fla. 2005) (self-petitioner's remarriage prior to filing self-petition was disqualifying). Note that [8 CFR 204.2\(c\)\(1\)\(ii\)](#) states: "The self-petitioning spouse must be legally married to the abuser when the petition is properly filed with the Service. A spousal self-petition must be denied if the marriage to the abuser legally ended through annulment, death, or divorce before that time." This portion of the regulation has been superseded by the Victims of Trafficking and Violence Protection Act of 2000, [Pub. L. 106-386 \(PDF\)](#) (October 28, 2000), which removed the requirement for the self-petitioner to remain married to the abuser at the time the self-petition is filed. The remainder of [8 CFR 204.2\(c\)\(1\)\(ii\)](#) remains valid: "After the self-petition has been properly filed, the legal termination of the marriage will have no effect on the decision made on the self-petition. The self-petitioner's remarriage, however, will be a basis for denial of a pending self-petition."

[^14] See [8 CFR 204.2\(c\)\(1\)\(ii\)](#).

[^15] See [8 CFR 205.1\(a\)\(3\)\(i\)\(E\)](#). See [8 CFR 205.2](#).

[^ 16] See [INA 204\(h\)](#).

[^ 17] See [INA 204\(g\)](#). See [INA 245\(e\)\(3\)](#). See [8 CFR 204.2\(c\)\(1\)\(iv\)](#).

[^ 18] See [8 CFR 204.2\(a\)\(1\)\(iii\)](#). USCIS considers the same evidence submitted for a spousal-based Petition for Alien Relative ([Form I-130](#)) under [8 CFR 204.2\(a\)\(1\)\(iii\)](#) for self-petitioning spouses.

[^ 19] See [INA 204\(g\)](#). See [8 CFR 204.2\(a\)\(1\)\(iii\)](#).

[^ 20] See [INA 245\(e\)\(3\)](#). See [8 CFR 204.2\(a\)\(1\)\(iii\)](#).

[^ 21] See [8 CFR 204.2\(a\)\(1\)\(iii\)\(D\)](#).

[^ 22] To meet this standard, the noncitizen must prove a claimed fact is more likely than not to be true. See [Matter of Chawathe \(PDF\)](#), 25 I&N Dec. 369 (AAO 2010). For more information about the good faith marriage requirement for self-petitioning spouses, see Chapter 2, Eligibility Requirements and Evidence, Section C, Good Faith Marriage (Self-Petitioning Spouses Only) [[3 USCIS-PM D.2\(C\)](#)].

[^ 23] See [INA 245\(e\)\(3\)](#). See [Matter of Arthur \(PDF\)](#), 20 I&N Dec. 475, 478 (BIA 1992) and *Pritchett v. I.N.S.*, 993 F.2d 80, 85 (5th Cir. 1993) (acknowledging clear and convincing evidence as an exacting standard).

[^ 24] See [INA 245\(e\)\(3\)](#).

[^ 25] See [8 CFR 204.2\(a\)\(1\)\(iii\)\(B\)\(5\)](#). Third parties submitting affidavits may be required to testify before a USCIS officer as to the information contained in the affidavit. Affidavits should be sworn to or affirmed by persons not parties to the petition who have personal knowledge of the marital relationship. Each affidavit should generally contain the full names, addresses, and dates and places of birth of the persons providing the affidavit and their relationship to the spouses, if any. The affidavit should contain complete information and details explaining how the affiants acquired knowledge of the marriage. Self-petitioners are not required to demonstrate the unavailability of primary or secondary evidence, but affidavits should be supported, if possible, by one or more types of documentary evidence listed in this section. All evidence submitted, including affidavits are reviewed under the any credible evidence provision described in Chapter 5, Adjudication, Section B, Review of Evidence, Subsection 2, Any Credible Evidence Provision [[3 USCIS-PM D.5\(B\)\(2\)](#)]. See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(J\)](#). See [8 CFR 103.2\(b\)\(2\)\(iii\)](#). See [8 CFR 204.2\(c\)\(2\)\(i\)](#). See [8 CFR 204.2\(e\)\(2\)\(i\)](#).

[^ 26] See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(A\)\(iii\)](#). See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(B\)\(ii\)](#). See [8 CFR 204.2\(c\)\(1\)\(i\)](#).

[^ 27] See [INA 204\(c\)](#). See [8 CFR 204.2\(a\)\(1\)\(ii\)](#). See [Matter of Tawfik \(PDF\)](#), 20 I&N Dec. 166 (BIA 1990) and [Matter of R.I. Ortega](#), 28 I&N Dec. 9 (BIA 2020). USCIS considers the same evidence submitted for a spousal-based Petition for Alien Relative ([Form I-130](#)) under [8 CFR 204.2\(a\)\(1\)\(ii\)](#) for self-petitioning spouses.

[^ 28] See [Matter of Kahy \(PDF\)](#), 19 I&N Dec. 803 (BIA 1988) and [Matter of Tawfik \(PDF\)](#), 20 I&N Dec. 166 (BIA 1990). Substantial and probative evidence is evidence that would permit a reasonable fact-finder to conclude that a given factual claim is true. See *INS v. Elias-Zacarias*, 502 U.S. 478 (1992). Substantial and probative evidence is more than a preponderance of the evidence, but less than clear and convincing evidence. See [Matter of Singh](#), 27 I&N Dec. 598 (BIA 2019). For more information, see Volume 6, Immigrants, Part B, Family-Based Immigrants [[6 USCIS-PM B](#)].

[^ 29] See [Matter of Tawfik \(PDF\)](#), 20 I&N Dec. 166 (BIA 1990).



[^ 30] See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)](#). See [8 CFR 204.2\(c\)\(2\)\(ii\)](#). See [8 CFR 204.2\(e\)\(2\)\(ii\)](#).

[^ 31] See [8 CFR 205.1\(a\)\(3\)\(i\)](#). See [Matter of Sano \(PDF\)](#), 19 I&N Dec. 299 (BIA 1985) and [Matter of Varela \(PDF\)](#), 13 I&N Dec. 453 (BIA 1970).

[^ 32] See [INA 201\(b\)\(2\)\(A\)\(i\)](#). See [INA 204\(l\)](#). See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(A\)\(iii\)\(II\)\(aa\)\(CC\)\(aaa\)](#). See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(A\)\(vii\)](#). See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(A\)\(vi\)](#).

[^ 33] See [INA 204\(1\)\(A\)\(iii\)\(II\)\(aa\)\(CC\)\(aaa\)](#). See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(A\)\(vii\)](#). Note that spouses of U.S. citizens who have not legally separated or divorced at the time of the U.S. citizen's death may also be eligible as widow(er)s under [INA 201\(b\)\(2\)\(A\)\(i\)](#) if they file a petition within 2 years of the death.

[^ 34] See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(A\)\(iv\)](#).

[^ 35] See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(A\)\(vi\)](#).

[^ 36] See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(A\)\(vi\)](#).

[^ 37] See [INA 204\(l\)\(2\)\(B\)](#).

[^ 38] See [INA 204\(l\)](#). For more information, see Volume 7, Adjustment of Status, Part A, Adjustment of Status Policies and Procedures, Chapter 9, Death of Petitioner or Principal Beneficiary [[7 USCIS-PM A.9](#)].

[^ 39] See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)](#). See [8 CFR 204.2\(c\)\(2\)\(ii\)](#). See [8 CFR 204.2\(e\)\(2\)\(ii\)](#).

[^ 40] See Title V of [Pub. L. 106-386 \(PDF\)](#), 114 Stat. 1464 (October 28, 2000). See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(A\)\(iii\)\(II\)\(aa\)\(CC\)\(bbb\)](#). See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(A\)\(iv\)](#). See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(A\)\(vii\)](#). See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(B\)\(ii\)\(II\)\(aa\)\(CC\)\(aaa\)\(iii\)](#).

[^ 41] See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(A\)\(vi\)](#).

[^ 42] See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(A\)\(iii\)\(II\)\(aa\)\(CC\)\(bbb\)](#). See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(A\)\(iv\)](#). See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(A\)\(vii\)](#). See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(B\)\(ii\)\(II\)\(aa\)\(CC\)\(aaa\)\(iii\)](#).

[^ 43] See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(A\)\(vi\)](#). See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(B\)\(v\)\(1\)](#).

[^ 44] See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(A\)\(vi\)](#). See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(B\)\(v\)\(1\)](#).

[^ 45] See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(A\)\(vi\) - \(vii\)](#). There are no statutory provisions that allow for continued eligibility for self-petitioning parents whose U.S. citizen sons or daughters have denaturalized or lost or renounced their U.S. citizenship after the self-petition is filed.

[^ 46] See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(B\)\(v\)\(II\)](#).

[^ 47] See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(B\)\(v\)\(II\)](#).

[^ 48] See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(B\)\(v\)\(II\)](#).

[^ 49] See [INA 101\(b\)\(1\)](#). See [8 CFR 204.2\(c\)\(4\)](#). See [8 CFR 204.2\(e\)\(1\)\(ii\)](#). [8 CFR 204.2\(e\)\(4\)](#) has been superseded by the Victims of Trafficking and Violence Protection Act of 2000, [Pub. L. 106-386 \(PDF\)](#) (October 28, 2000), which allows children of child self-petitioners to be classified as derivative beneficiaries under [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(A\)\(iv\)](#) and [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(B\)\(iii\)](#).

[^ 50] See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(D\)\(v\)](#).

[^ 51] See Section 805(c) of VAWA 2005, [Pub. L. 109-162 \(PDF\)](#), 119 Stat. 2960 (January 5, 2006) and Section 6(a) of the Violence Against Women and Department of Justice Reauthorization Act of 2005 Technical Amendments, [Pub. L. 109-271 \(PDF\)](#), 120 Stat. 750 (August 12, 2006). See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(D\)\(v\)](#).

[^ 52] See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(D\)\(v\)](#).

[^ 53] See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(D\)\(v\)](#).

[^ 54] See [Pub. L. 107-208 \(PDF\)](#), 116 Stat. 927 (August 6, 2002) adding [INA 201\(f\)](#) and [INA 203\(h\)](#) and Title V of [Pub. L. 106-386 \(PDF\)](#), 114 Stat. 1464 (October 28, 2000) adding [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(D\)\(i\)\(I\)](#) and [INA 203\(a\)\(1\)-\(3\)](#).

[^ 55] See [Pub. L. 107-208 \(PDF\)](#), 116 Stat. 927 (August 6, 2002) adding [INA 201\(f\)](#) and [INA 203\(h\)](#).

[^ 56] See [INA 201\(f\)](#). See [INA 203\(h\)](#). For more information on CSPA, see Volume 7, Adjustment of Status, Part A, Adjustment of Status Policies and Procedures, Chapter 7, Child Status Protection Act [[7 USCIS-PM A.7](#)].

[^ 57] See Title V of [Pub. L. 106-386 \(PDF\)](#), 114 Stat. 1464 (October 28, 2000) adding [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(D\)\(i\)\(I\)](#) and [INA 203\(a\)\(1\)-\(3\)](#).

[^ 58] See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(D\)\(i\)\(III\)](#).

[^ 59] See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(D\)\(i\)\(I\)](#) and [\(III\)](#).

[^ 60] See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(D\)\(i\)](#). See [INA 204\(h\)](#).



U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

[Home](#) > [Policy Manual](#)

## Chapter 4 - Filing Requirements

### Guidance

[Resources](#) (9)

[Appendices](#) (0)

[Updates](#) (2)

[History](#) (0)

---

### A. Filing Requirements and Initial Review

A noncitizen seeking to self-petition under the Violence Against Women Act (VAWA) must properly file a Petition for Amerasian, Widow(er), or Special Immigrant ([Form I-360](#)) with supporting evidence in accordance with the Form I-360 instructions.<sup>[1]</sup> USCIS considers Form I-360 as properly filed if it is:

- Submitted on the current edition of the form;
- Filed at the correct filing location; and
- Properly signed.<sup>[2]</sup>

When initially reviewing the Form I-360, USCIS first determines whether the Form I-360 has been properly filed according to the above criteria. If the self-petition is not properly filed, USCIS rejects the filing and returns it to the self-petitioner.<sup>[3]</sup> If the self-petition is properly filed, USCIS sends the self-petitioner a receipt notice with a receipt, or filing date. There is no fee when Form I-360 is filed as a VAWA self-petition.<sup>[4]</sup>

Self-petitioning spouses, children, and parents of U.S. citizens may seek to adjust status as immediate relatives by filing an Application to Register Permanent Residence or Adjust Status ([Form I-485](#)) at any time, because visas are always immediately available for immediate relatives.<sup>[5]</sup>

If, however, the self-petitioner is a spouse or child of a lawful permanent resident (LPR) and seeking to adjust under a family-based preference category, the self-petitioner may need to wait for a visa to become available before filing a Form I-485.<sup>[6]</sup>

If a visa is immediately available, the self-petitioner may file the Form I-485:

- Together (or “concurrently”) with the Form I-360;
- While the Form I-360 is pending; or
- After the Form I-360 is approved (and remains valid).<sup>[7]</sup>

## 1. Priority Dates

The priority date is the date the self-petition is properly filed and is used in conjunction with the Department of State [Visa Bulletin](#) to determine whether an immigrant visa is immediately available. For purposes of visa availability, a self-petitioner’s priority date is generally the date the self-petition was filed.

If self-petitioners were beneficiaries of a previously filed Petition for Alien Relative ([Form I-130](#)) filed by the abusive qualifying relative, they may retain the priority date from the Form I-130.<sup>[8]</sup> The earlier priority date may be assigned without regard to the current validity of the visa petition. Officers may verify a claimed filing by searching USCIS electronic systems or other records.<sup>[9]</sup>

Note that derivative beneficiaries must be under 21 years old and unmarried at the time Form I-360 is filed to be included as derivative beneficiaries, even if they had a previously filed Form I-130 filed for them.<sup>[10]</sup> If derivative beneficiaries are eligible to be included on the Form I-360 at the time of filing, then they may retain the self-petitioner’s priority date from a previously filed Form I-130.<sup>[11]</sup>

## B. Documentation Requirements

Self-petitioners must file Form I-360 and submit documentation in accordance with the Form I-360 instructions to establish, by a preponderance of the evidence, that they meet the eligibility requirements.<sup>[12]</sup>

While self-petitioners are encouraged to submit primary evidence, where available, USCIS must consider any credible evidence relevant to the self-petition.<sup>[13]</sup> The determination of what evidence is credible and the weight to be given that evidence is within the sole discretion of USCIS.<sup>[14]</sup>

Self-petitioners must submit evidence for each of the eligibility requirements.<sup>[15]</sup> Documentation should include:

- Evidence of the abusive relative’s U.S. citizenship or LPR status, such as a birth certificate, an unexpired U.S. passport, a Certificate of Naturalization, or a copy of a Permanent Resident Card (Form I-551).<sup>[16]</sup>
- Evidence of a qualifying relationship that demonstrates a familial, legal relationship to the abuser, such as birth certificates, marriage certificates, and divorce decrees;<sup>[17]</sup>
- Evidence of having entered the marriage in good faith (for self-petitioning spouses only), such as insurance policies showing one spouse has been listed as the other spouse’s beneficiary; joint property leases, income tax forms, or accounts; or evidence of courtship, a wedding ceremony, shared residence, and other shared experiences;<sup>[18]</sup>
- Evidence of battery or extreme cruelty perpetrated by the U.S. citizen or LPR during the qualifying relationship (self-petitioning spouses may submit evidence of their child being subjected to battery or extreme cruelty), such as reports and affidavits from police, judges, other court officials, medical

personnel, school officials, clergy, social workers, and other social service personnel; court or medical records; or protection orders; <sup>[19]</sup>

- Evidence of shared residence with the abusive U.S. citizen or LPR, such as leases, deeds, mortgages, or rental agreements listing the abuser and the self-petitioner; utility invoices, bank statements, or financial documents listing a common address; school records listing the parent and address of record; medical records; or income tax filings; and <sup>[20]</sup>
- Evidence of good moral character if the self-petitioner is 14 years old or older, such as affidavits and a local police clearance or state-issued criminal background check from each locality or state in or outside the United States where the self-petitioner has resided for 6 months or more during the 3-year period immediately preceding the filing of the self-petition. <sup>[21]</sup>

## Footnotes

---

<sup>[^ 1]</sup> See [8 CFR 103.2\(a\)\(1\)](#). See [8 CFR 106.2\(a\)\(16\)\(ii\)](#). See [8 CFR 204.1\(b\)](#). See [8 CFR 204.1\(a\)\(3\)](#).

<sup>[^ 2]</sup> See [8 CFR 103.2\(a\)\(2\)](#). See Volume 1, General Policies and Procedures, Part B, Submission of Benefit Requests, Chapter 2, Signatures [[1 USCIS-PM B.2](#)].

<sup>[^ 3]</sup> See [8 CFR 103.2\(a\)\(7\)\(ii\)](#).

<sup>[^ 4]</sup> See [8 CFR 106.2\(a\)\(16\)\(ii\)](#).

<sup>[^ 5]</sup> See [INA 201\(b\)](#). See [INA 245\(a\)](#). See [8 CFR 245.2\(a\)\(2\)\(i\)](#).

<sup>[^ 6]</sup> For information on visa availability, see [Visa Availability and Priority Dates](#), [Adjustment of Status Filing Charts](#), and the Department of State website to view the [Visa Bulletin](#). See Volume 7, Adjustment of Status, Part A, Adjustment of Status Policies and Procedures, Chapter 3, Filing Instructions, Section B, Definition of Properly Filed, Subsection 4, Visa Availability Requirement [[7 USCIS-PM A.3\(B\)\(4\)](#)] and Chapter 6, Adjudicative Review, Section C, Verify Visa Availability [[7 USCIS-PM A.6\(C\)](#)].

<sup>[^ 7]</sup> See [8 CFR 245.2\(a\)\(2\)\(i\)](#).

<sup>[^ 8]</sup> See [8 CFR 204.2\(h\)\(2\)](#). See [61 FR 13061, 13069 \(PDF\)](#) (Mar. 26, 1996). For more information, see Volume 7, Adjustment of Status, Part A, Adjustment of Status Policies and Procedures, Chapter 6, Adjudicative Review, Section C, Verify Visa Availability, Subsection 3, Priority Dates [[7 USCIS-PM A.6\(C\)\(3\)](#)].

<sup>[^ 9]</sup> See [61 FR 13061, 13069 \(PDF\)](#) (Mar. 26, 1996).

<sup>[^ 10]</sup> See [8 CFR 204.2\(c\)\(4\)](#).

<sup>[^ 11]</sup> See [8 CFR 204.2\(c\)\(4\)](#).

<sup>[^ 12]</sup> See [INA 204\(a\)](#). See [8 CFR 204.2\(c\)\(1\)](#). See [8 CFR 204.2\(e\)\(1\)](#). Although [8 CFR 204.2\(c\)\(1\)](#) and [8 CFR 204.2\(e\)\(1\)](#) require self-petitioners to demonstrate extreme hardship to themselves or their children if deported; that they reside in the United States at the time of filing; and that their shared residence with the abuser take place in the United States, the Victims of Trafficking and Violence Protection Act of 2000, [Pub. L. 106-386 \(PDF\)](#), 114 Stat. 1464 (October 28, 2000) removed these as eligibility requirements and supersedes this part of the regulation.

[^ 13] See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(J\)](#). See [8 CFR 103.2\(b\)\(2\)\(iii\)](#). See [8 CFR 204.1\(f\)\(1\)](#). See [8 CFR 204.2\(c\)\(2\)\(i\)](#). See [8 CFR 204.2\(e\)\(2\)\(i\)](#). [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(J\)](#) was not specifically amended to encompass the consideration of secondary evidence submitted by self-petitioning parents. The discussion of evidence found at [8 CFR 103.2\(b\)\(2\)\(iii\)](#) and [8 CFR 204.1\(f\)\(1\)](#) regarding self-petitions filed under [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(A\)\(iii\)](#) and [\(iv\)](#) and [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(B\)\(ii\)](#) and [\(iii\)](#) are applicable to self-petitions filed by abused parents of U.S. citizen sons or daughters under [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(A\)\(vii\)](#). For more information about the any credible evidence provision, see Chapter 5, Adjudication, Section B, Review of Evidence, Subsection 2, Any Credible Evidence Provision [[3 USCIS-PM D.5\(B\)\(2\)](#)].

[^ 14] See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(J\)](#). See [8 CFR 204.2\(c\)\(2\)\(i\)](#). See [8 CFR 204.2\(e\)\(2\)\(i\)](#).

[^ 15] See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)](#). See [8 CFR 204.2\(c\)\(1\)](#). See [8 CFR 204.2\(e\)\(1\)](#). For more detailed information on the evidence required for each eligibility requirement, see Chapter 2, Eligibility Requirements and Evidence [[3 USCIS-PM D.2](#)].

[^ 16] For more detailed information on the evidence required for this eligibility requirement, see Chapter 2, Eligibility Requirements and Evidence, Section B, Qualifying Relationship, Section 1, Abuser's U.S. Citizenship or Lawful Permanent Resident Status [[3 USCIS-PM D.2\(B\)\(1\)](#)].

[^ 17] For more detailed information on the evidence required for this eligibility requirement, see Chapter 2, Eligibility Requirements and Evidence, Section B, Qualifying Relationship [[3 USCIS-PM D.2\(B\)](#)].

[^ 18] For more detailed information on the evidence required for this eligibility requirement, see Chapter 2, Eligibility Requirements and Evidence, Section C, Good Faith Marriage (Self-Petitioning Spouses Only) [[3 USCIS-PM D.2\(C\)](#)].

[^ 19] For more detailed information on the evidence required for this eligibility requirement, see Chapter 2, Eligibility Requirements and Evidence, Section E, Subjected to Battery or Extreme Cruelty [[3 USCIS-PM D.2\(E\)](#)].

[^ 20] For more detailed information on the evidence required for this eligibility requirement, see Chapter 2, Eligibility Requirements and Evidence, Section F, Residence with the Abusive Relative [[3 USCIS-PM D.2\(F\)](#)].

[^ 21] For more detailed information on the evidence required for this eligibility requirement, see Chapter 2, Eligibility Requirements and Evidence, Section G, Good Moral Character [[3 USCIS-PM D.2\(G\)](#)].





U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

[Home](#) > [Policy Manual](#)

## Chapter 5 - Adjudication

### Guidance

[Resources \(10\)](#)

[Appendices \(0\)](#)

[Updates \(2\)](#)

[History \(0\)](#)

---

## A. Prima Facie Review

After receipting a self-petition, USCIS first determines whether the evidence submitted establishes a prima facie (“at first look”) case.<sup>[1]</sup> Self-petitioning spouses and children and any listed derivative beneficiaries may be considered “qualified aliens” eligible for certain public benefits if they can establish a prima facie case for immigrant classification or have an approved self-petition.<sup>[2]</sup>

USCIS does not make a prima facie determination for self-petitions filed from outside the United States. Self-petitioners who are outside the United States are not eligible for U.S. public benefits. Note that although USCIS issues prima facie determinations for self-petitioning parents of U.S. citizens, they are not included in the definition of “qualified aliens” in statute and are, therefore, ineligible for public benefits as “qualified aliens.”<sup>[3]</sup>

### 1. Establishing a Prima Facie Case

To establish a prima facie case, the self-petitioner must submit a completed Petition for Amerasian, Widow(er), or Special Immigrant ([Form I-360](#)) and evidence to support each of the eligibility requirements for the self-petition.<sup>[4]</sup> The self-petitioner must merely address each of the eligibility requirements but need not prove eligibility in order to establish a prima facie case.<sup>[5]</sup>

If USCIS determines that a self-petitioner has demonstrated prima facie eligibility, USCIS issues a Notice of Prima Facie Case (NPFC) to the self-petitioner.<sup>[6]</sup> The decision to issue an NPFC rests solely with USCIS.<sup>[7]</sup>

If USCIS determines that the self-petitioner did not establish a prima facie case upon initial review, officers may, in their discretion, issue a Request For Evidence (RFE) seeking additional evidence. If additional evidence is submitted and the self-petitioner establishes a prima facie case upon second review, USCIS issues an NPFC.

Regardless of whether a self-petitioner establishes a prima facie case and receives an NPFC or not, USCIS may discover additional deficiencies while adjudicating the self-petition. For such cases, USCIS may issue an RFE and will consider RFE responses solely to adjudicate the self-petition.<sup>[8]</sup>

Note that the NPFC does not confer immigration status or a benefit, and a self-petitioner may not apply solely for an NPFC. USCIS' decision to issue or not issue an NPFC is not a consideration in the adjudication of the underlying self-petition, and a prima facie determination, whether favorable or adverse, is not a final adjudication of the self-petition.

A favorable NPFC does not mean the self-petitioner has established eligibility for the underlying self-petition, and additional evidence may be required to establish such eligibility after a favorable NPFC has been issued.<sup>[9]</sup>

## 2. Validity Period and Renewals

Self-petitioners may use the NPFC as evidence to establish their eligibility for certain public benefits and are eligible to renew their NPFC, as needed, until USCIS completes adjudication of the self-petition.<sup>[10]</sup> NPFCs are initially valid for 1 year. If USCIS has not made a decision on the self-petition by the time the NPFC expires, USCIS automatically sends a renewed NPFC within 60 days of the expiration date.

The NPFC is renewed for 180 days and continues to be renewed for 180-day periods until USCIS adjudicates the self-petition. If the Form I-360 is denied, USCIS does not re-issue or extend the NPFC. Filing an appeal of Form I-360 does not extend the validity of an existing NPFC.

## B. Review of Evidence

### 1. Standard of Proof

The standard of proof refers to the quality and weight of the evidence required to prove a fact. The standard of proof to establish eligibility for a self-petition is preponderance of the evidence.<sup>[11]</sup> Establishing eligibility by a preponderance of the evidence means that it is more likely than not that the self-petitioner qualifies for the benefit. This is a lower standard of proof than both the "clear and convincing" and "beyond a reasonable doubt" standards of proof. The burden is on self-petitioners to demonstrate their eligibility for the self-petition by a preponderance of the evidence.<sup>[12]</sup>

### 2. Any Credible Evidence Provision

Generally, petitioners are required to submit primary or secondary evidence with a family-based immigrant visa petition.<sup>[13]</sup> Although Violence Against Women Act (VAWA) self-petitioners are encouraged to submit primary or secondary evidence whenever possible, an officer must consider any credible evidence a self-petitioner submits to establish eligibility.<sup>[14]</sup> The determination of what evidence is credible and the weight to be given to the evidence is within the sole discretion of USCIS.<sup>[15]</sup>

For VAWA self-petitioners, the abusive family member may control access to or destroy necessary documents in furtherance of the abuse, which may prevent the applicant from being able to submit specific documentation. Other self-petitioners may have fled the abusive situation without taking important documents with them.

Congress created the “any credible evidence” standard for VAWA filings in recognition of these evidentiary challenges. Officers should be aware of and consider these issues when evaluating the evidence.

### *Weighing and Determining the Credibility of Evidence*

A self-petition may not be denied for failure to submit a particular piece of evidence.<sup>[16]</sup> An officer may only deny a self-petition on evidentiary grounds if the evidence that was submitted is not credible or otherwise fails to establish eligibility. Officers may not require that the self-petitioner demonstrate the unavailability of primary evidence or a specific document. An explanation from the self-petitioner, however, regarding the unavailability of such documents may assist officers in adjudicating the case.

Officers determine what evidence is credible on a case-by-case basis. Often, evidence that is credible in one setting will not be so in another. Officers should consider whether the evidence may be credible or not on either an internal or external basis.

For example, evidence that is inconsistent with the other elements of the self-petition is likely not internally credible; and evidence that does not conform to external facts, such as information contained in USCIS electronic databases, is likely not credible on an external basis. Officers should carefully review evidence in both these regards before making a credibility determination. The determination of what is credible will often also be a function of other elements in the case.

For example, if USCIS finds a self-petitioner’s testimony in an affidavit to be inconsistent internally or inconsistent with other evidence, officers could determine in their discretion that the evidentiary value of that affidavit may be diminished. However, officers could determine in their discretion that minor inconsistencies regarding information that is not material to the self-petitioner’s eligibility would not likely diminish the evidentiary value of the self-petitioner’s affidavit.

Some general principles are applicable in making a credibility determination. Officers generally should give more weight to primary evidence and evidence provided in court documents, medical reports, police reports, and other official documents.<sup>[17]</sup> Self-petitioners who submit affidavits are encouraged, but not required, to provide affidavits from more than one person. Any form of documentary evidence may be submitted, and the absence of a particular form or piece of evidence is not grounds for denial of the self-petition.

USCIS may issue a Request for Evidence (RFE) or a Notice of Intent to Deny (NOID) to notify self-petitioners of deficiencies in the self-petition and to allow them an opportunity to respond before issuing a final decision.<sup>[18]</sup>

## C. Decision

### 1. Discretion

The decision to approve or deny a self-petition is not discretionary.<sup>[19]</sup> If USCIS determines that the noncitizen meets all the eligibility requirements for the self-petition, USCIS approves the self-petition. If derogatory information unrelated to eligibility for the self-petition is discovered, the officer may forward the information to an investigation unit for appropriate action. Unless the derogatory information relates to eligibility for the self-petition, however, such information cannot serve as the basis for a denial.

## 2. Approvals

If USCIS determines that the facts and information provided with the Form I-360 demonstrate eligibility by a preponderance of the evidence, USCIS approves the self-petition.

Self-petitioning spouses, children, and parents of abusive U.S. citizens are considered immediate relatives and make seek adjustment of status or an immigrant visa immediately after approval of the self-petition, as a visa is immediately available for this category of family-based immigrants.<sup>[20]</sup> Immediate relatives in the United States also have the option to file an application for adjustment of status concurrently with the self-petition, as the visa is immediately available after the petition is approved.<sup>[21]</sup>

Self-petitioning spouses and children of abusive LPRs receive a visa number from a family-based preference category when the self-petition is approved and may file an application for adjustment of status or seek an immigrant visa when a visa is available.<sup>[22]</sup> If a self-petitioner seeks an immigrant visa from outside the United States, USCIS forwards the self-petition to the National Visa Center.<sup>[23]</sup>

Note that an approved self-petition does not confer immigration status to self-petitioners and their derivative beneficiaries. An approved self-petition provides immigrant classification so that the self-petitioner and any derivative beneficiaries have a basis upon which they may be eligible to apply for lawful permanent resident status.

### *Employment Authorization*

Approved self-petitioners and their derivative beneficiaries are eligible for employment authorization.<sup>[24]</sup> USCIS may issue an employment authorization document (EAD) to principal self-petitioners upon approval if they requested an EAD on Form I-360.<sup>[25]</sup>

Derivative beneficiaries may apply for an EAD by submitting an Application for Employment Authorization ([Form I-765](#)) and supporting documentation of the principal's approved self-petition and of the qualifying derivative relationship. Persons eligible for employment authorization based on an approved self-petition receive an EAD with a (c)(31) employment authorization code.

Approved principal self-petitioners and derivative beneficiaries must file Form I-765 when renewing their VAWA-based employment authorization.<sup>[26]</sup> Principal self-petitioners and derivatives who are living outside of the United States are not eligible to receive an EAD.

### *Deferred Action*

Approved self-petitioners and their derivative beneficiaries may be considered for deferred action on a case-by-case basis.<sup>[27]</sup> Derivative beneficiaries requesting deferred action must include a copy of the self-petitioner's approval notice and evidence of the qualifying derivative relationship with the request.

## 3. Denials

If USCIS finds that the facts and information provided with the Form I-360 do not demonstrate eligibility by a preponderance of the evidence, then USCIS denies the self-petition. USCIS notifies the self-petitioner of the denial in writing and provides the reason(s) for the denial and the right to appeal the decision.<sup>[28]</sup> A denial of a self-petition does not prevent the self-petitioner from filing another self-petition.

## D. Special Considerations for Self-Petitions Filed Subsequent to Family-Based Immigrant Petition and Adjustment Application

Self-petitioners may have previously been the beneficiary of a Petition for Alien Relative ([Form I-130](#)) and filed an Application to Register Permanent Residence or Adjust Status ([Form I-485](#)) before filing the self-petition. If the Form I-485 is pending, self-petitioners may notify USCIS either verbally in person or in writing by mail to the local USCIS field office that they filed a self-petition, and request that USCIS hold adjudication of the Form I-485 until the Form I-360 is adjudicated and change the underlying basis of the pending Form I-485 to the self-petition.

If a person intends to file a self-petition, they may notify USCIS either verbally in person or in writing by mail to the local USCIS field office of their intention to file the Form I-360 and request that USCIS hold the adjudication of the Form I-485. The written notification should contain the person's name and A-Number, and a safe address where USCIS can contact them. The person has 30 days from the day USCIS receives notification of the request to file the Form I-360. If the self-petitioner does not file a self-petition within 30 days of the request, USCIS continues adjudication of the Form I-485 based on the Form I-130. Officers may check USCIS electronic systems to confirm that a self-petition was filed.

When a person notifies USCIS that they intend to file a self-petition or have already filed a self-petition, DHS considers the confidentiality protections at [8 U.S.C. 1367\(a\)\(1\)](#) to apply to the self-petitioner.<sup>[29]</sup> However, if the person does not file a self-petition, USCIS concludes they do not want to be treated as a VAWA self-petitioner and the protections of 8 U.S.C. 1367 will not apply to the adjudication of any forms.<sup>[30]</sup>

## Footnotes

---

<sup>[^ 1]</sup> See [8 CFR 204.2\(e\)\(6\)](#).

<sup>[^ 2]</sup> See the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, [Pub. L. 104-193 \(PDF\)](#), 110 Stat. 2105 (August 22, 1996) and the Illegal Immigration Reform and Immigrant Responsibility Act of 1996, [Pub. L. 104-208 \(PDF\)](#), 110 Stat. 3009 (September 30, 1996), which restricted eligibility for public assistance to “qualified aliens.”

<sup>[^ 3]</sup> See [Pub. L. 104-193 \(PDF\)](#), 110 Stat. 2105 (August 22, 1996).

<sup>[^ 4]</sup> See [8 CFR 204.2\(c\)\(6\)\(ii\)](#). See [8 CFR 204.2\(e\)\(6\)\(ii\)](#). For more information, see Chapter 2, Eligibility Requirements and Evidence [[3 USCIS-PM D.2](#)].

<sup>[^ 5]</sup> See [8 CFR 204.2\(c\)\(6\)\(ii\)](#). See [8 CFR 204.2\(e\)\(6\)\(ii\)](#).

<sup>[^ 6]</sup> See [8 CFR 204.2\(c\)\(6\)\(iii\)](#). See [8 CFR 204.2\(e\)\(6\)\(iii\)](#).

<sup>[^ 7]</sup> See [62 FR 60769, 60770 \(PDF\)](#) (November 13, 1997).

<sup>[^ 8]</sup> See [8 CFR 103.2\(b\)\(8\)](#).

<sup>[^ 9]</sup> See [8 CFR 204.2\(c\)\(6\)\(ii\)](#). See [8 CFR 204.2\(e\)\(6\)\(ii\)](#).



[^ 10] See [8 CFR 204.2\(c\)\(6\)\(iii\)](#). See [8 CFR 204.2\(e\)\(6\)\(iii\)](#).

[^ 11] See [Matter of Chawathe \(PDF\)](#), 25 I&N Dec. 369 (AAO 2010); [Matter of Martinez \(PDF\)](#), 21 I&N Dec. 1035, 1036 (BIA 1997); and [Matter of Soo Hoo \(PDF\)](#), 11 I&N Dec 151 (BIA 1965). Note that in certain circumstances, the self-petitioner may be required to satisfy a higher standard of proof. See Chapter 3, Effect of Certain Life Events, Section B, Self-Petitioner's Marriage or Remarriage [[3 USCIS-PM D.3\(B\)](#)].

[^ 12] See [INA 291](#). See [Matter of Brantigan \(PDF\)](#), 11 I&N Dec. 493 (BIA 1966).

[^ 13] See [8 CFR 204.1\(f\)](#).

[^ 14] See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(J\)](#). See [8 CFR 204.2\(c\)\(2\)\(i\)](#). See [8 CFR 204.2\(e\)\(2\)\(i\)](#). See [61 FR 13061 \(PDF\)](#) (March 26, 1996).

[^ 15] See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(J\)](#). See [8 CFR 204.2\(c\)\(2\)\(i\)](#). See [8 CFR 103.2\(b\)\(2\)\(iii\)](#). See [8 CFR 204.2\(e\)\(2\)\(i\)](#). See [61 FR 13061 \(PDF\)](#) (March 26, 1996).

[^ 16] See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(J\)](#). See [8 CFR 204.2\(c\)\(2\)\(i\)](#). See [8 CFR 204.2\(e\)\(2\)\(i\)](#). See [61 FR 13061 \(PDF\)](#) (March 26, 1996).

[^ 17] See [61 FR 13061, 13068 \(PDF\)](#) (March 26, 1996).

[^ 18] See [8 CFR 103.2\(b\)\(8\)](#).

[^ 19] See [INA 204\(b\)](#).

[^ 20] See [INA 201\(b\)](#). See [INA 245\(a\)](#). See [8 CFR 245.2\(a\)\(2\)\(i\)](#). See [8 CFR 245.1\(g\)](#).

[^ 21] See [8 CFR 245.2\(a\)\(2\)\(i\)\(B\)](#) and [\(C\)](#).

[^ 22] See [INA 203\(a\)](#). See [INA 245\(a\)](#). See [8 CFR 245.2\(a\)\(2\)\(i\)](#). See [8 CFR 245.1\(g\)](#). Visa availability depends on several factors, including the self-petitioner's immigrant classification. Information on visa availability and priority dates is available at the [Adjustment of Status Filing Charts from the Visa Bulletin](#) web page. For more information, see Volume 7, Adjustment of Status, Part A, Adjustment of Status Policies and Procedures, Chapter 3, Filing Instructions, Section B, Definition of Properly Filed, Subsection 4, Visa Availability Requirement [[7 USCIS-PM A.3\(B\)\(4\)](#)] and Chapter 6, Adjudicative Review, Section C, Verify Visa Availability [[7 USCIS-PM A.6\(C\)](#)].

[^ 23] See [8 CFR 204.2\(c\)\(3\)\(i\)](#). See [8 CFR 204.2\(e\)\(3\)\(i\)](#).

[^ 24] See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(K\)](#). See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(D\)\(i\)\(II\)](#). See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(D\)\(i\)\(IV\)](#).

[^ 25] See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(K\)](#). See the Petition for Amerasian, Widow(er), or Special Immigrant ([Form I-360](#)).

[^ 26] For additional information on VAWA-based employment authorization, see Instructions for [Form I-360](#) and the Application for Employment Authorization ([Form I-765](#)).

[^ 27] See [INA 103\(a\)](#). See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(D\)\(i\)\(II\)](#). See [INA 204\(a\)\(1\)\(D\)\(i\)\(IV\)](#). See *Heckler v. Chaney*, 470 U.S. 821, 831 (1985). Note that deferred action does not permit a person to re-enter the United States lawfully without prior approval if the person were to depart the country.

[^ 28] See [8 CFR 204.2\(c\)\(3\)\(ii\)](#). See [8 CFR 204.2\(e\)\(3\)\(ii\)](#). See [8 CFR 103.3\(a\)](#).



[^ 29] See [8 U.S.C. 1367](#). See [DHS Directive, “Implementation of Section 1367 Information Provisions,” Instruction Number: 002-02-001, issued November 1, 2013 \(PDF\)](#). For more information, see Volume 1, General Policies and Procedures, Part A, Public Services, Chapter 7, Privacy and Confidentiality, Section E, VAWA, T, and U Cases [[1 USCIS-PM A.7\(E\)](#)].

[^ 30] See [8 U.S.C. 1367\(a\)\(1\)](#).

Current as of February 10, 2022

---



U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

[Home](#) > [Policy Manual](#)

## Chapter 6 - Post-Adjudicative Matters

### Guidance

[Resources](#) (9).

[Appendices](#) (0).

[Updates](#) (2).

[History](#) (0).

---

### A. Revocations

USCIS may revoke the approval of a self-petition with notice to the self-petitioner if, at any time prior to adjustment of status or consular processing, USCIS becomes aware of information that constitutes “good and sufficient cause” warranting revocation.<sup>[1]</sup> Examples of reasons why the approval of a self-petition may be revoked may include, but are not limited to:

- The self-petitioner is no longer a person of good moral character; or
- The self-petitioner was not eligible for Violence Against Women Act (VAWA) classification at the time of filing.

Unless the revocation is an automatic revocation,<sup>[2]</sup> USCIS must provide self-petitioners with notice of the intent to revoke the approval of the self-petition and provide them an opportunity to respond.<sup>[3]</sup>

If USCIS decides to revoke the approval of the self-petition following consideration of the response, the officer must provide written notification of the decision explaining the specific reasons for the revocation.<sup>[4]</sup> The self-petitioner may appeal the decision to revoke the approval within 15 calendar days after service of the notice of the revocation or 18 days if the decision was sent by mail.<sup>[5]</sup>

#### 1. Authority to Revoke a Self-Petition

The service centers have sole authority to revoke the approval of a self-petition. Service center officers who adjudicate VAWA self-petitions receive specialized training on domestic violence and abuse and have developed expertise in this subject matter, including expertise in identifying fraud. Therefore, in order to ensure consistency in the adjudication of VAWA self-petitions, USCIS field offices that believe a self-

petition should be reviewed for possible revocation must return it to the appropriate service center for review and decision on the revocation.

## 2. USCIS Field Office – Officer’s Request for Review of an Approved Self-Petition

Officers in USCIS field offices adjudicating an Application to Register Permanent Residence or Adjust Status ([Form I-485](#)) based on an approved VAWA self-petition generally may not inquire about instances of abuse or extreme cruelty or attempt to re-adjudicate the merits of the underlying approved self-petition. If, however, officers find or obtain new information that leads them to reasonably believe that the approval of the self-petition should be revoked, they must prepare a detailed memorandum for their supervisor.

The officer must explain why the self-petition should be reviewed for possible revocation, and the memorandum must state what the new information is and how USCIS obtained it. Information is not considered new if it was available to the service centers at the time of the approval of the self-petition.

Officers must keep in mind the [8 U.S.C. 1367](#) confidentiality provisions preventing USCIS from making an adverse determination using information provided solely by an abuser, a family member of the abuser living in the same household, or someone acting on the abuser’s behalf, as well as the prohibition on the unauthorized disclosure of information related to a protected person, including acknowledgment that a self-petition exists. <sup>[6]</sup>

## 3. USCIS Field Office – Supervisory Review

If after reviewing the officer’s memorandum, the supervisor concurs with the officer’s recommendation to revoke the approval of the self-petition, the supervisor must sign the memorandum and forward it along with the A-File to the appropriate service center with an attention to “VAWA I-360.”

## 4. Service Center VAWA I-360 Unit – Supervisory Review

A VAWA I-360 supervisor at the service center must review the field office memorandum and the related file to determine whether to initiate the revocation process or to reaffirm the self-petition. If the supervisor disagrees with the recommendation of the field office and decides to reaffirm the self-petition, a separate memorandum must be prepared explaining why the self-petition was reaffirmed. The service center then returns the memorandum to the USCIS field office that made the recommendation. If the supervisor agrees with the recommendation to revoke the approval of the self-petition, the service center issues a notice of intent to revoke the approval to the self-petitioner.

The service center is expected to complete its review process on an expedited basis. In all cases, self-petitions that are sent to a service center from a USCIS field office or to a USCIS field office from a service center must be accompanied by a memorandum that is signed by the appropriate supervisor.

## B. Appeals, Motions to Reopen, and Motions to Reconsider

If USCIS denies a Petition for Amerasian, Widow(er), or Special Immigrant ([Form I-360](#)), and a self-petitioner disagrees with the decision or has additional evidence to show the decision was incorrect, the

self-petitioner may file an appeal, a motion to reopen, or a motion to reconsider by submitting a Notice of Appeal or Motion ([Form I-290B](#)).<sup>[7]</sup>

The self-petitioner must file the appeal or motion within 30 days of the denial or 33 days if USCIS sent the denial by mail.<sup>[8]</sup> There is no exception to the filing period for appeals and motions to reconsider. For a motion to reopen, USCIS may excuse, in its discretion, the self-petitioner's failure to file before this period expires where the self-petitioner demonstrates that the delay was reasonable and beyond their control.<sup>[9]</sup>

## Footnotes

---

[^1] See [INA 205](#). See [8 CFR 205.1\(a\)](#). See [8 CFR 205.2\(a\)](#).

[^2] See [8 CFR 205.1\(a\)](#).

[^3] See [8 CFR 205.2\(b\)](#).

[^4] See [8 CFR 205.2\(c\)](#).

[^5] See [8 CFR 205.2\(d\)](#). See [8 CFR 103.8\(b\)](#). Self-petitioners may appeal the decision to revoke the self-petition by filing a Notice of Appeal or Motion ([Form I-290B](#)).

[^6] See [8 U.S.C. 1367\(a\)\(1\)-\(2\)](#).

[^7] See [8 CFR 103.5](#).

[^8] See [8 CFR 103.5](#).

[^9] See [8 CFR 103.5\(a\)\(1\)\(i\)](#).

### Appendix: Conditional Bars to Establishing Good Moral Character - Waivable Conduct

Provision of INA	Conduct Prohibiting Finding of Good Moral Character	Conduct Waivable?	Waiver Provision	Criteria for Waiver
<a href="#">INA 101(f)(1)</a>	Someone who is an habitual drunkard.	No		
<a href="#">INA 101(f)(3)</a>	Someone who engaged in prostitution within the past ten years.  <a href="#">INA 212(a)(2)(D)</a> ground of inadmissibility	Yes	<a href="#">INA 212(h)(1)(C)</a> provides for a waiver of the <a href="#">INA 212(a)(2)(D)</a> ground of inadmissibility.	Noncitizen qualifies as abused spouse, child or parent under <a href="#">INA 204(a)(1)(A)(iii)</a> , <a href="#">(iv)</a> , <a href="#">(v)</a> , or <a href="#">(vii)</a> or <a href="#">INA 204(a)(1)(B)(ii)</a> , <a href="#">(iii)</a> , or <a href="#">(iv)</a> and the Secretary of Homeland Security must consent to the waiver (that is, exercise favorable discretion).
<a href="#">INA 101(f)(3)</a>	Someone who has ever knowingly encouraged, induced, assisted, abetted, or aided another person to enter or to try to enter the U.S. in violation of law.  <a href="#">INA 212(a)(6)(E)</a> ground of inadmissibility	Yes	<a href="#">INA 212(d)(11)</a> provides for a waiver of the <a href="#">INA 212(a)(6)(E)</a> ground of inadmissibility.	Noncitizen seeking adjustment of status as an immediate relative or preference immigrant under <a href="#">INA 203(a)</a> may qualify for a waiver only if the noncitizen encouraged, induced, assisted, abetted, or aided only a person who at the time of such action was the noncitizen's spouse, parent, son, or daughter (and no other person) to enter the United States in violation of law.
<a href="#">INA 101(f)(3)</a>	Someone coming to the United States to practice polygamy.  <a href="#">INA 212(a)(10)(A)</a> ground of inadmissibility	No		

Provision of INA	Conduct Prohibiting Finding of Good Moral Character	Conduct Waivable?	Waiver Provision	Criteria for Waiver
<a href="#">INA 101(f)(3)</a>	Someone who committed or was convicted of either a crime involving moral turpitude or a crime relating to a controlled substance that does not fall within one of the exceptions set forth at <a href="#">INA 212(a)(2)(A)(ii)</a> .  <a href="#">INA 212(a)(2)(A)</a> ground of inadmissibility	Yes, for a crime of moral turpitude.  Waiver for drug offense only available for single offense of simple possession of 30 grams or less of marijuana.	<a href="#">INA 212(h)(1)(C)</a> provides for a waiver of the <a href="#">INA 212(a)(2)(A)(i)(I)</a> and <a href="#">(II)</a> grounds of inadmissibility.	Noncitizen qualifies as abused spouse, child, or parent under <a href="#">INA 204(a)(1)(A)(iii)</a> , <a href="#">(iv)</a> , <a href="#">(v)</a> , or <a href="#">(vii)</a> or <a href="#">INA 204(a)(1)(B)(ii)</a> , <a href="#">(iii)</a> , or <a href="#">(iv)</a> and the Secretary of Homeland Security must consent to the waiver (that is, exercise favorable discretion).
<a href="#">INA 101(f)(3)</a>	Someone who was convicted of two or more offenses (other than purely political offenses), regardless of whether they arose from out of a single scheme or the conviction was in a single trial, for which the aggregate sentences to confinement were 5 years or more.  <a href="#">INA 212(a)(2)(B)</a> ground of inadmissibility	Yes	<a href="#">INA 212(h)(1)(C)</a> provides for a waiver of the <a href="#">INA 212(a)(2)(B)</a> ground of inadmissibility.	Noncitizen qualifies as abused spouse, child, or parent under <a href="#">INA 204(a)(1)(A)(iii)</a> , <a href="#">(iv)</a> , <a href="#">(v)</a> , or <a href="#">(vii)</a> or <a href="#">INA 204(a)(1)(B)(ii)</a> , <a href="#">(iii)</a> , or <a href="#">(iv)</a> and the Secretary of Homeland Security must consent to the waiver (that is, exercise favorable discretion).
<a href="#">INA 101(f)(3)</a>	Someone who DHS knows or has reason to believe is or has been an illicit trafficker in any controlled substance.  <a href="#">INA 212(a)(2)(C)</a> ground of inadmissibility	No		
<a href="#">INA 101(f)(4)</a>	Someone whose present income is derived principally	No		



Provision of INA	Conduct Prohibiting Finding of Good Moral Character	Conduct Waivable?	Waiver Provision	Criteria for Waiver
	from illegal gambling activities.			
<a href="#">INA 101(f)(5)</a>	Someone who has been convicted of two or more gambling offenses during the period for which good moral character must be established.	No		
<a href="#">INA 101(f)(6)</a>	Someone who has given false testimony for the purpose of obtaining any benefits under the INA.  <a href="#">INA 212(a)(6)(C)(i)</a> ground of inadmissibility	Though there is no specific waiver for false testimony, a noncitizen who gives false testimony may come within the ambit of <a href="#">INA 212(a)(6)(C)(i)</a> which bars noncitizens who procure (or seek to procure) by fraud or willful misrepresentation, a visa, admission, other documentation or benefit under the INA.  False testimony that is not material does not render an noncitizen inadmissible under <a href="#">INA 212(a)(6)(C)(i)</a> . However, such non-material false testimony does statutorily bar USCIS from making a finding of good moral character. That is, such an “act or conviction” is not “waivable” for purposes of <a href="#">INA 204(a)(1)(C)</a> . Therefore, officers will need to determine two things: whether the self-petitioner has ever given “false testimony”; and if so, whether such testimony was “material.”	<a href="#">INA 212(i)(1)</a> and <a href="#">INA 237(a)(1)(H)(ii)</a> provide for a waiver of the <a href="#">INA 212(a)(6)(C)(i)</a> ground of inadmissibility.	Noncitizen qualifies as abused spouse, child, or parent under <a href="#">INA 204(a)(1)(A)(iii)</a> , <a href="#">(iv)</a> , <a href="#">(v)</a> , or <a href="#">(vii)</a> or <a href="#">INA 204(a)(1)(B)(ii)</a> , <a href="#">(iii)</a> , or <a href="#">(iv)</a> and show that refusal of admission would result in extreme hardship to the noncitizen or the noncitizen’s U.S. citizen, lawful permanent resident, or qualified noncitizen parent or child. ( <a href="#">INA 212(i)(1)</a> ).  Noncitizen qualifies as abused spouse, child, or parent under <a href="#">INA 204(a)(1)(A)(iii)</a> , <a href="#">(iv)</a> , <a href="#">(v)</a> , or <a href="#">(vii)</a> or <a href="#">INA 204(a)(1)(B)(ii)</a> , <a href="#">(iii)</a> , or <a href="#">(iv)</a> . This waiver of removal also operates to waive deportation based on the grounds of inadmissibility directly resulting from such fraud or misrepresentation. ( <a href="#">INA 237(a)(1)(H)(ii)</a> )

Provision of INA	Conduct Prohibiting Finding of Good Moral Character	Conduct Waivable?	Waiver Provision	Criteria for Waiver
<a href="#">INA 101(f)(7)</a>	Someone who, during the period for which good moral character must be established, has been confined, as a result of conviction, to a penal institution for an aggregate period of 180 days or more, regardless of whether the offense, or offenses, for which they have been confined were committed within or without such period.	No		

False statement or claim to U.S. citizenship or registering to vote or voting in federal, state or local election in violation of lawful restrictions

A person who falsely claims U.S. citizenship in order to vote, who registers to vote, or who votes in violation of lawful restrictions is not barred from a good moral character finding if:

- Each natural parent is or was a U.S. citizen;
- The person permanently resided in the United States prior to attaining age 16; and
- The person reasonably believed at the time of the statement, claim, or violation that they were a U.S. citizen.

This exception was created by the Child Citizenship Act of 2000 (CCA)<sup>1</sup> and is retroactively applied as if included in the Illegal Immigration Reform and Immigrant Responsibility Act of 1996.<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> See [Pub. L. 106-395](#), 114 Stat. 1631 (October 30, 2000).

<sup>2</sup> See [Pub. L. 104-208](#), 110 Stat. 3009 (September 30, 1996). For more information, see Volume 12, Citizenship and Naturalization, Part F, Good Moral Character, Chapter 5, Conditional Bars for Acts in Statutory Period, Section M, Unlawful Acts: False Claim to U.S. Citizenship, Unlawful Voter Registration, and Unlawful Voting [[12 USCIS-PM F.5\(M\)](#)].



U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services

[Home](#) > [Policy Manual](#)

## Part D - Violence Against Women Act

[Guidance](#)

[Resources \(11\)](#)

[Appendices \(1\)](#)

[Updates \(2\)](#)

[History \(0\)](#)

---

## Resources

### Legal Authorities

[8 CFR 204.2](#) - Petitions for relatives, widows and widowers, and abused spouses and children

---

[8 U.S.C. 1367](#) - Penalties for disclosure of information

---

[INA 101\(a\)\(51\)](#) - Definition of VAWA self-petitioner

---

[INA 204](#), [8 CFR 204](#) - Procedure for granting immigrant status

---

### Forms

[AR-11, Change of Address](#)

---

[G-28, Notice of Entry of Appearance as Attorney or Accredited Representative](#)

---

[I-290B, Notice of Appeal or Motion](#)

---

[I-360, Petition for Amerasian, Widow\(er\), or Special Immigrant](#)

---

[I-485, Application to Register Permanent Residence or Adjust Status](#)

---

[I-765, Application for Employment Authorization](#)

---

[I-912, Request for Fee Waiver](#)

---

- [Chapter 1 - Purpose and Background](#)
- [Chapter 2 - Eligibility Requirements and Evidence](#)
- [Chapter 3 - Effect of Certain Life Events](#)
- [Chapter 4 - Filing Requirements](#)
- [Chapter 5 - Adjudication](#)
- [Chapter 6 - Post-Adjudicative Matters](#)

Current as of February 10, 2022

---