

T Visa Protections for Family Members (8 CFR 214.11(k)(1))

By Sylvie Sheng and Leslye E. Orloff
July 3, 2020

An immigrant victim of human trafficking filing a T visa application may file applications seeking that their eligible family members also be granted T visas. Under immigration laws, the applicant is called a principal, and the family members are called derivatives. The following chart outlines each of the types T visas available to immigrant victims of human trafficking and their family members. Age-out protections are provided for T visa principal applicants and for the family members they included in their applications (derivatives) who are under 18 or 21 years of age.¹

Code	Name
T-1	Principal (the victim)
T-2	Principal’s spouse (always eligible)
T-3	Principal’s child (always eligible)
T-4	Principal’s parent (eligible only when principal is under 21 years of age, or when the parent faces a present danger of retaliation as a result of the principal’s escape from trafficking or cooperation with law enforcement)
T-5	Principal’s unmarried sibling under the age of 18 (eligible only when principal is under 21 years of age, or when the sibling faces a present danger of retaliation as a result of the principal’s escape from trafficking or cooperation with law enforcement)
T-6	Adult or minor child of any derivative (T-2–T-5) (eligible only when the adult or minor child of a derivative faces a present danger of retaliation as a result of the principal’s escape from trafficking or cooperation with law enforcement)

¹ See INA section 214(o)(4)–(5), 8 U.S.C. 1184(o)(4)–(5); new 8 CFR 214.11(k)(5)(ii)–(iii).

National Immigrant Women’s Advocacy Project (NIWAP, pronounced *new-app*)
American University, Washington College of Law
4300 Nebraska Avenue, N.W., N100B, Washington, D.C. 20016
(o) 202.274.4457 · niwap@wcl.american.edu · wcl.american.edu/niwap · <http://library.niwap.org/>

This publication was developed under grant number SJI-20-E-005 from the State Justice Institute. The points of view expressed are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of the State Justice Institute.