

SCORING INSTRUCTIONS

Coercion in Intimate Partner Relationships (CPR): A Measure of Demands, Surveillance, Coercive Tactics, and Behavioral Response to Coercive Tactics

Mary Ann Dutton
Lisa A. Goodman
Darci Terrell
R. James Schmidt
Alissa Fujimoto

Cite as:

Dutton, M.A., Goodman, L., Terrell, D., Schmidt, R. J., & Fujimoto, A. (2007). Coercion in Intimate Partner Relationships (CPR): A Measure of Demands, Surveillance, Coercive Tactics, and Behavioral Response to Coercive Tactics. Final report submitted to National Institute of Justice.

Dutton, M.A. & Goodman, L. A. (2005). Coercion and IPV: Development of a new measure. Paper presented at the 9th International Family Violence Conference. Portsmouth, NH.

Measure of Coercion from Partner to Respondent/Client

- 2A Demands by partner of respondent (9 subscales, see Final Report)
- 2B Surveillance of compliance for demands by partner of respondent (No subscales)
- 2C Coercive tactics by partner toward respondent (3 subscales, see Final Report)
- 2D Threatened involvement of third party by partner to carry out coercive tactics against respondent (1 subscale, see Final Report)
- 2E Respondent's behavioral response to coercive tactics (2 subscales, see Final Report)

Measure of Coercion from Respondent/Client to Partner

- 3A Demands by respondent of partner (9 subscales, see Final Report)
- 3B Surveillance of compliance for demands by respondent of partner (No subscales)
- 3C Coercive tactics by respondent toward partner (3 subscales, see Final Report)
- 3D Threatened involvement of third party by respondent to carry out coercive tactics against partner (1 subscale, see Final Report)

Scoring

Sum items marked "yes" within each subscale or scale to derive subscale and total scores, respectively. See attached powerpoint file and Final report for results of preliminary psychometric analyses.