

Thank you to Kellogg

We are grateful to the W.K. Kellogg Foundation for financial support that made this work possible.

Judicial Training Network
Multi-State Training

Introductions

- Faculty and Participants
- Housekeeping

Judicial Training Network Multi-State Training

Learning Objectives

By the end of this training you will be better able to:

- Help judges get familiar with how to access NIWAP materials when they need it.
- Provide judges opportunities to work with the subject matter issues through interactive exercises so they are more comfortable providing TA and training to other judges in their states.
- Help judges better identify and address implicit bias that arises in cases involving immigrant children, immigrant victims, and immigrant families.

Judicial Training Network Multi-State Training

Update on the Judicial Training Network

Judicial Training Network Multi-State Training

Accomplishments

- 69 plenaries and workshops at
 - $-\,$ 27 different in-person conferences, and
 - 6 webinars
 - Training 2,922 attendees
- 108 new and updated publications for judges and courts
 - Distribution numbers reach 17,649
 - Family law 56.9%
 - Immigration relief and VAWA confidentiality 29.6%
 - Demographics and public benefits 13.8%
- JTN 56 participants=
 - 37 judges + 8 court staff/judicial education + 7 university staff
 - + 2 Expert faculty and 2 Evaluators

Judicial Training Network
Multi-State Training

Next Steps

- This training designed
 - To deepen your knowledge of the law
 - Facilitate future planning for judicial training and technical assistance in the states
 - Build your familiarity with the materials and technical assistance available to assist you

Judicial Training Network

Training Agenda Overview

Topics to be covered include:

- U Visa Certification by Judges
- Special Immigrant Juvenile Status (SIJS)
- VAWA Confidentiality Limitations on Courthouse enforcement
- Custody and Implicit Bias
- Protection Orders
- VAWA Confidentiality and Discovery
- Barriers to Access to Justice for Immigrant Victims and Children
- Public Benefits and Services
- $\boldsymbol{-}$ Special Issues Arising in Divorce Cases Including Affidavits of Support
- Language access

Judicial Training Network

Small group: Demographics Exercise

- Review your state demographics and discuss:
 - What you expected to see
 - What was surprising
 - What is important for your work as a judge and for the court system

Judicial Training Network

Survey Findings – Comparing 2016 and 2017 • Willingness to file immigration cases dropped in 2017 compared to 2016 - 391% VAWA self-petitions - 30% U visas • Willingness to seek a protection order - Attorneys/advocates reported 84% of battered immigrant clients were likely/very likely to seek protection orders - Willingness to seek protection orders dropped by 7% in 2017 - This drop occurred mostly in jurisdictions that were not signing U visa certifications and issuing SIJS findings

Signing Compared to Non-Signing Courts						
 Signing courts reported more immigrant victims coming to court for protection orders 						
 Protection orders 1.1 times higher 						
– Divorce 1.7 times higher						
– Child Abuse/Neglect 1.8 higher						
Custody 2 times higher						
 Child Support 3 times higher 						
Judicial Training Network Multi-State Training 11						

Law Enforcement Preliminary Survey Results 20% report reductions in immigrant victim cooperation – police reports/investigations Top crimes harder to investigate Domestic violence 69% Human trafficking 64% Sexual assault 59% Child abuse 50% Due to fear perpetrator will have victim deported (47%) & threats of deportation (42%)

Judges Preliminary Survey Results

- In 2017 35% of judges report that court cases interrupted due to immigrant victim's fears of coming to court
- Judges reporting higher rates of parties or defendants raising immigration status of a victim or opposing party in 2017 vs 2016
 - Criminal 39%
 - Protection order 32%
 - Custody 31%
 - Divorce 23%

Judicial Training Network

Steps signing courts were taking

- Signing U certifications and SIJS findings
- Making it clear to attorneys, victim advocates, and litigants that
 - The court will treat immigrants fairly
 - ICE cannot undertake enforcement actions in family court
 - The court will respond appropriately when one party raises the immigration status of the other party in court proceedings

Judicial Training Network 14 Multi-State Training 14



_			
_			
_			
-			
_			
_			
-			
_			
_			
_			
_			
_			
_			
_			
_			
_			
-			
_			

Who is Required to Report to DHS? **REQUIRED NOT REQUIRED** • Public benefit agencies RE: • Judges/Courts persons "known" to be • Healthcare providers unlawfully in the country = • Schools deportation order · Law enforcement **Voluntary Agreements** • Nonprofits / Faith Based Law enforcement with ICE Organizations 287(g) agreements · Legal and social service • Jails with ICE agreements providers Individuals under Secure Communities • Individuals

Materials Review - Flash Drive

- Infographic on JTN Accomplishments
- JTN NOLA 2019 Agenda
- National access to justice survey
- NCSC- Promoting Access to Justice of Immigrant Crime Victims
- International Association of Chiefs of Police (IACP)
 Overcoming Fear and Building Trust with
 Immigrant Communities and Crime Victims
- Privacy Protections, Reporting, and Public Benefits





- Power Point presentations and materials for this conference at
 - http://niwaplibrary.wcl.american.edu/jtn-nola-2019/

Technical Assistance and Materials

- Judicial Training Manual at
 - http://niwaplibrary.wcl.american.edu/sji-materials/
 - NIWAP Technical Assistance:
 - Call (202) 274-4457
 - E-mail info@niwap.org
- Web Library: www.niwaplibrary.wcl.american.edu

Judicial Training Network Multi-State Training